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#### Abstract

This paper compares the height, weight and BMI of the 15-19 groups of male and female of four eastern region states of India namely Jharkhand, Bihar, West Bengal and Orissa using Third National Family Health Survey (NFHS-3) data. The sample sizes of Jharkhand, Bihar, West Bengal and Orissa are 176, 236, 375 and 229 for male and 631, 893, 1052 and 829 for female respectively. Data on socio-demographic background of the households like place of residence, social group, education of males and females, and wealth index of the family are taken to see the differential effects of these variables on the teen ager's height and weight. It is well recognized that height and weight are interrelated. These are also related with socioeconomic variables. But, does the relation remain same over all teen ager's (15-19 years) height and weight groups in different eastern region states? The objective of this paper is to find the effect of the socio-economic variables on heights and weights for different groups of persons formed according to the different levels of heights and weights and to see whether there are gender differences in the variation of heights and weights in the four eastern region states. Descriptive studies show a clear positive relation of height and weight with the economic level. In case of BMI, it is the age group which seems to be the most influential factor. The notable feature is that percentages of short height in all the four eastern region states are higher in comparison to India (29.5\%) in case of male. For underweight, the percentage of West Bengal only is lower than national average. The result is similar in case of short height for the females also and for underweight all eastern region state's female shows higher percentage than national average.
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## INTRODUCTION:

Variation of height and weight is different among the males and females in India. It is mainly due to difference in nutritional status. A significant difference is observed in heights and weights of children belonging to upper and lower income families across all age groups. Adolescents are not exceptional from this. Actually adolescence is a transitional phase between childhood and adulthood (time) when the body prepares itself for the nutritional demands of work load for both boys and girls and additionally for girls during demands of pregnancy, lactation and heavy work load. During this teen period boys and girls gain their height and weight rapidly. But it is found that a variation is observed among the teen agers of 15-19 years of age groups. A number of factors may be responsible for this difference.

Both height and weight is the reflection of an individual's nutritional status. The only difference between this two is that short height reflects chronic malnutrition (is considered as a long term condition), whereas underweight (measure through BMI ) is considered as temporary malnutrition and can be regained through dietary intake. Therefore, height is thought to be a retrospective measure of an individual's health and biological standard of living and almost determined before the person reaches the age of twenty (Komlos and Baton 1998). Thus, height is the combination of genetic and environmental factors. Heights, between populations may differ due to genetic factor but within population differences are mainly due to several socio-economic, nutritional and health oriented factors. On the other hand, weight, is the reflection of short-term measure of nutritional status. Changes in a short time may occur due to inadequate or excess amount of food intake.

In Indian situation, in view of endogamy, the variation of height is perhaps more influenced by population structure and less by climate and geography. This is because nearly eighty percent of stature (Bharati et al 2010) is genetically regulated and non-genetic factors such as climate, nutrition and socioeconomic factors together account for remaining twenty percent. In India very little work has been done relating to height and weight data on the age group of 15-19 years. It can not be overlooked that rural children exhibit a wide spectrum of undernutrition in the pre- school and pre-pubertal period. Thus children entering adolescence with differing nutritional status are likely to differ greatly in their adolescent growth performance, resulting in the large between individual variations.

Adolescence is a vulnerable period in human life cycle when nutritional requirements increase due to the adolescent growth spurt. This period is characterized by rapid increase in height, weight and hormonal changes resulting in sexual maturation (Gupta 1990) Variations in adolescent growth parameters are shown by Kanade et.al. (1999). It shows that nutritional deprivation seems to affect almost all growth parameters and final adult size too. The phenomenon of early biological maturation has been studied along with other socio-economical influences to indicate the trend of growth among adolescent girls (Chugh \& Puri, 2001; Ramachandran et al. 2002; Khanna \& Kapoor 2004). Adolescence, one of the nutritional stress periods of life with profound growth comes with increased demands of energy, protein, minerals and vitamins (Gopalan et al 2001). Recently, growth and nutritional status of Bengali adolescent girls has been assessed by using 15 standard anthropometric parameters compared with similar groups within India and abroad (Banerjee et al. 2009).

In Bihar, more than 50 \% people are below poverty line, illiteracy among women was above 50 \% (Census, 2001) and because of poor access to health and nutrition services, there is high under nutrition among children. In Jharkhand, poverty head count ratio percentage is 39.1 in comparison to India 29.8 in 2009-2010 (Jharkhand economic and human development indicators) and female literacy is 56.21 in comparison to India 65.46 ( 2011 census). In West Bengal poverty ratios declined half during 2000 but in Bihar it remained the same. Whereas in Orissa, malnutrition among women aged $15-49$ years is $41.4 \%$ and female literacy is 64.36 compared to $35.6 \%$ and 65.46 respectively in India ( 2011 census). One of the reasons is that a substantial portion of the people is still engaged in manual work for their livelihood and require higher energy intake than is actually consumed. In this context, it is necessary to investigate the socio-economic condition such as education of parents, place of residence or economic conditions in order to understand the retardation of growth and nutrition.

Here the main objective of the paper are (i) to see the variation of growth and nutrition status of 1519 years of males and females in four states of India like Jharkhand, Bihar, West Bengal and Orissa and to see their comparative account with that of all India results and (ii) to explain the responsible socioeconomic factors leading to height and weight and BMI variation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The present data were taken from National Family Health Survey-3 (NFHS-3) during the year 2005-06. The survey was coordinated by International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) in collaboration with
the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Boys and girls of 15-19 years of age are taken for this study. The sample sizes for the four eastern region states Jharkhand, Bihar, West Bengal and Orissa are 176, 236,375 and 229 respectively and for India, it is 12,176 for males and for females it is $631,893,1052$ and 829 respectively and 21813 for India. This survey collected data on weight and height on different ages. It was a nationwide cross section survey which gathered information on population's socioeconomic, demographic, anthropometric and other characteristics along with other relevant information. Height is measured in centimeter and BMI is measured by weight $(\mathrm{kg}) /$ height $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. For male the heights are classified as Very short (<=149.99), Short (150.00-159.99), Below medium (160.00163.99), Medium (164.00-166.99), Above medium (167.00-169.99), and Tall ( $>=170.00$ ). For female the classification is Shortest (<145.00), Short (145.00-149.99), Medium (150.00-154.99), Tall (155.00-159.99) and Tallest (>=160.00). For simplification and/or to make parity both male and female heights are grouped in three categories: i) above medium (tall) ii) medium and iii) below medium (short). This classification was taken from Singh et al. (1977). Body Mass Index, which is defined as weight in Kg. divided by the square of height in meter (wt./ht. ${ }^{2}$ ), is classified according to WHO classification (1998) as Underweight (<18.5), Normal (18.5-24.9), Overweight (25.0-29.9) and Obese ( $>=30.0$ ). This classification is same for both boys and girls. Further it is classified as underweight, normal and overweight putting obese persons in the overweight group. Adolescent is signified by the onset of puberty, which is often defined as the physical transformation of a child into an adult. According to UNICEF, 15-19 years age group is defined as late adolescent group. A myriad of biological changes occur during puberty including sexual maturation, increases in height and weight, completion of skeletal growth accompanied by a marked increase in skeletal mass, and changes in body composition. In this ages growth is very close to adult value. Our present data also supports that the mean of height and BMI is quite close in this age. Moreover, it is also observed that the mean height of short stature and underweight is very close to each other in our study. All this condition helps us to classify short and underweight according to adult classification. The other covariates are place of residence according to rural and urban settings, age of the individual, social group like Scheduled caste, Scheduled Tribe, Other Backward classes and General category. To measure economic status, the data use Wealth Index, which is based on the 33 assets and housing characteristics like household electrification, type of windows, source of drinking water, type of toilet facility, type of flooring, material of exterior wall, type of roofing, cooking fuel, house ownership, number of household members per sleeping room, ownership of a bank or post office account, and ownership of mattress, pressure cooker, chair, cot/bed/, table, electric fan, radio/transistor, black and
white television, colour television, sewing machine, mobile phone, any other phone, computer, refrigerator, watch or clock, bicycle, motorcycle, or scooter, animal drawn cart, car, water pump, thresher and tractor. Each household asset has been given a weight, generated through principal component analysis and the resulting asset scores are standardized in relation to a normal distribution with a mean of zero and standard deviation of one (Gwatkin et al, 2000). Each household is then assigned a score for each asset, and the scores were summed for each household; individuals are ranked according to the score of the household in which they reside. The sample is then divided into quintiles i.e. five groups with an equal number of individuals in each and termed as poorest, poorer, middle, riche and richest. To measure educational status, it is classified among both boys and girls as illiterate, primary, secondary and higher secondary education groups.

The analysis is done through tables, figures and logistic regressions. For regression analyses, the nutritional status i.e. short height and Underweight has been considered as dependent variable and socio-economic variables are taken as independent variables. The statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) has been used for all the analysis. Levels of significances of $p<.01$; and .01 to .05 are considered.

## RESULTS:

Table 1, 2 and Figures 1a, 1b, 2a,2b, 3a and 3b describe the mean and SD of weight and height for each age of 15 to 19 years of male and females in four states of India such as Jharkhand, Bihar, West Bengal and Orissa as well as total India. It has been found that the distribution of height and weight around the mean is increasing over the ages in all the four states. The mean height in the starting age is lowest in Jharkhand followed by Orissa. A decreasing trend in mean height is noticed in the age of 18 years in all the eastern states except for Jharkhand, where this reducing trend is observed In the age of 19 years in case of male height. The similar trend is found also in case of mean weight. This picture is found for males only. For females, mean height is quite stable in West Bengal. Jharkhand and Bihar shows poor mean height in comparison to West Bengal and Orissa. It is also observed from the tables that mean height and weight of all the eastern region states are lower in comparison to national mean height and weight. In some ages, it is seen that the height and weight values are lower than their values at previous year, which should not be common for a growth study. This may be due to sampling error. For example, height measurements are by nature subject to statistical sampling errors even for a single individual in
more than one time. Therefore, a typical measurement error of plus or minus 0.5 cm sometimes may nullify the actual growth.

Table 3, 4 and figures $4 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{a}$ and 5 b shows the percentage distribution of short height and underweight in the ages of 15-19 years for both males and females in the four states of India. For male, percentage of short height and underweight in the age of fifteen is highest in Jharkhand followed by Orissa, Bihar and West Bengal. It is also found that the percentage of short height and underweight is higher in the states (except West Bengal) than national percentage. The females show almost same trend in case of short height and underweight. The noting point is that the percentage of underweight in the age of 19 is lower in Orissa than other states. It is also observed that percentages of short height and underweight are higher in all the four states in comparison to national data for both males and females, except for West Bengal females in case of underweight.

Tables 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 describe the relationship of mean height, weight and BMI by socioeconomic variables. It is seen that mean height and weight are significantly different among the categories irrespective of different socioeconomic variables. For example, with few exceptions, positive highest mean height, weight and BMI are seen among the males and females of urban areas, higher education and economically better off group. Role of caste/ tribe is not so prominent. In most of the cases, the result is statistically significant at $1 \%$ to $5 \%$ level. Considering males and females, role of caste/ tribe shows a spurious result in the four states.

Tables 11 and 12 show the effect of socioeconomic variables on short height and underweight among the males and females of 15 to 19 years in the states of India. Results of logistic regression shows that males are significantly less short heighted in the urban areas in Jharkhand in comparison to rural areas. Table also shows that males with primary education are significantly six times higher affected in short height in comparison to illiterate one. Role of education on height is found in Orissa. It is observed that short height is significantly lower among higher education group. A positive significant trend is also found among the higher economic groups in the states both for short height and underweight. Regression analysis for females is shown in Table 12. Role of education in case of female is more pronounced case of short height. It is seen that in short height is significantly reduced in the higher education group in comparison to illiterate women. Rich wealth index also play a significant role in reducing short height and underweight in comparison to poor one.

## DISCUSSION:

The paper makes a comparative study of height and weight of males and females of teen age of 15-19 year in four states of India and also compares the deviation in the perspective of total India. It is seen that means of height, weight and BMI of 15-19 years of males and females are lower than national mean except for male of West Bengal and Orissa, where mean BMI is higher or same with that of national mean figures. It leaves no scope for comparison that males will be taller than females. However, height of 15-19 ages often differ significantly between populations groups which may be due to genetic differences or childhood life style differences. It this observed that high percentage of short height and underweight starts from the age of 15 in all the four states which are similar to all India level both for males and females. The only exception is found in Bihar in case of underweight where the percentage is higher in the age of 16 in case of males. The percentage of short height males are highest in Jharkhand followed by West Bengal, Orissa and Jharkhand in the lowest rung of the ladder and for underweight the percentage is highest in Bihar followed by Jharkhand, Orissa and West Bengal. For females, the highest percentage of short height and underweight is similar like that of males followed by other states, only the difference is that percentage of underweight females in the age of 19 are higher than males. Magnitude of lower short height and low intensity of underweight in respect of socioeconomic variables are seen among the males and females of urban areas, women's higher education and rich wealth index categories. Another notable finding is that only in Orissa, reduction of short height is directly related with upward movement of literacy but in Jharkhand, Bihar and West Bengal, there is no impact of literacy on reducing short height in case of male. For underweight, no impact of education is observed in none of the four states. Impact of rich wealth is seen mainly in case of West Bengal in reducing underweight whereas a relation is observed in the other states in lowering the short height in case of males. Females show a mixed result where reducing tendency is found in the rich wealth index group. A significant increasing trend of short height is found in Bihar in relation to higher education, but reducing picture is noticed in West Bengal. The other important finding is that reduction of underweight is directly related to social groups in Orissa, whereas no impact is found in other states. It is also seen that wealth index is inversely related with short height and underweight for both males and females, implying that wealth index has a significant effect on reduction of both short height and underweight. In

Bihar and Jharkhand, under nutrition is very high which may be due to low per capita income and poor access to health that increase the morbidity. The reason for high underweight states may also be due to high illiteracy among women, causing low women status (Bihar Road map, 2007). The result thus lead to understand that India is far from being a homogenous country in terms of height and weight as there is a wide inter-state variation in socio-economic profile. Some of the states in India are also unique in gender disparity. The states like Jharkhand and Bihar shows the leading disparity in female education. It can also be said from the growth pattern of Bihar and Jharkhand, in poor economic state, economy is the sole factor for reducing under nutrition while in West Bengal which is better than Bihar and Jharkhand, mother's literacy has also role to reduce the under nutrition.
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Table 1 Mean height, weight and BMI of 15-19 years of Male of Jharkhand, Bihar, WB, Orissa and India

| Age | Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |  | West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |
|  | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| 15 | 39 | 158.89 | 7.76 | 44.52 | 5.40 | 17.60 | 1.42 | 42 | 160.96 | 8.02 | 45.27 | 7.74 | 17.39 | 2.10 | 72 | 160.84 | 8.43 | 47.29 | 8.81 | 18.19 | 2.46 |
| 16 | 41 | 162.53 | 8.19 | 47.74 | 8.12 | 18.02 | 2.28 | 53 | 164.28 | 7.68 | 48.39 | 6.22 | 17.89 | 1.56 | 88 | 162.60 | 6.62 | 49.26 | 7.33 | 18.61 | 2.38 |
| 17 | 32 | 162.71 | 7.14 | 47.22 | 6.37 | 17.79 | 1.67 | 45 | 165.01 | 7.27 | 49.82 | 6.47 | 18.27 | 1.81 | 62 | 163.16 | 6.52 | 50.41 | 7.45 | 18.90 | 2.33 |
| 18 | 42 | 164.75 | 6.96 | 51.97 | 8.64 | 19.07 | 2.31 | 64 | 162.66 | 6.01 | 47.69 | 6.21 | 18.01 | 1.99 | 89 | 162.57 | 5.84 | 49.85 | 8.15 | 18.81 | 2.47 |
| 19 | 22 | 163.35 | 6.04 | 50.03 | 3.79 | 18.74 | 0.97 | 32 | 164.64 | 5.89 | 51.96 | 7.36 | 19.17 | 2.52 | 64 | 164.81 | 6.75 | 52.41 | 7.04 | 19.29 | 2.36 |
| 15-19 | 176 | 162.39 | 7.58 | 48.23 | 7.41 | 18.22 | 1.96 | 236 | 163.44 | 7.11 | 48.04 | 6.95 | 18.08 | 2.02 | 375 | 162.73 | 6.92 | 49.75 | 7.93 | 18.74 | 2.42 |


| Table Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Orissa |  |  |  |  |  |  | India |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |
|  | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| 15 | 50 | 159.79 | 6.30 | 45.10 | 7.41 | 17.59 | 2.15 | 2204 | 160.70 | 8.11 | 46.28 | 8.79 | 17.92 | 3.57 |
| 16 | 51 | 161.70 | 7.39 | 48.16 | 8.89 | 18.35 | 2.61 | 2654 | 162.73 | 7.55 | 48.43 | 8.17 | 18.33 | 3.68 |
| 17 | 50 | 164.36 | 7.16 | 50.08 | 7.63 | 18.49 | 2.10 | 2384 | 164.46 | 7.30 | 50.65 | 8.35 | 18.69 | 2.53 |
| 18 | 38 | 163.73 | 5.36 | 51.78 | 7.36 | 19.28 | 2.28 | 2761 | 164.74 | 7.05 | 51.97 | 8.41 | 19.12 | 2.64 |
| 19 | 40 | 164.29 | 6.69 | 55.27 | 10.04 | 20.39 | 2.87 | 2173 | 165.22 | 7.24 | 53.31 | 8.29 | 19.51 | 2.63 |
| 15-19 | 229 | 162.65 | 6.87 | 49.76 | 8.89 | 18.73 | 2.56 | 12176 | 163.60 | 7.61 | 50.15 | 8.73 | 18.72 | 3.10 |

Table 2 Mean height, weight and BMI of 15-19 years of Female of Jharkhand, Bihar, WB, Orissa and India

| Age | Jharkhand |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bihar |  |  |  |  |  |  | West Bengal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |
|  | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| 15 | 115 | 149.22 | 5.89 | 41.63 | 6.46 | 18.65 | 2.32 | 203 | 149.56 | 5.20 | 41.18 | 5.81 | 18.39 | 2.23 | 194 | 150.25 | 4.85 | 41.96 | 6.19 | 18.57 | 2.50 |
| 16 | 131 | 149.88 | 5.62 | 42.53 | 5.96 | 18.90 | 2.20 | 178 | 150.74 | 6.16 | 41.68 | 5.67 | 18.33 | 2.13 | 219 | 150.62 | 5.26 | 43.18 | 6.21 | 19.03 | 2.48 |
| 17 | 130 | 149.32 | 5.93 | 41.87 | 5.44 | 18.75 | 1.92 | 147 | 149.91 | 5.18 | 42.48 | 5.48 | 18.89 | 2.17 | 198 | 150.82 | 5.62 | 43.87 | 7.16 | 19.25 | 2.71 |
| 18 | 144 | 149.42 | 5.79 | 43.16 | 6.00 | 19.31 | 2.33 | 233 | 150.17 | 5.57 | 43.10 | 5.83 | 19.10 | 2.31 | 242 | 150.53 | 5.52 | 44.45 | 7.39 | 19.59 | 2.91 |
| 19 | 111 | 150.18 | 5.67 | 42.91 | 5.55 | 19.03 | 2.23 | 132 | 149.52 | 5.48 | 43.07 | 6.24 | 19.24 | 2.45 | 199 | 150.97 | 5.86 | 45.09 | 8.24 | 19.73 | 3.10 |
| 15-19 | 631 | 149.59 | 5.77 | 42.44 | 5.90 | 18.94 | 2.21 | 893 | 150.01 | 5.54 | 42.28 | 5.84 | 18.77 | 2.28 | 1052 | 150.63 | 5.43 | 43.74 | 7.15 | 19.25 | 2.78 |


| Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Orissa |  |  |  |  |  |  | India |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |  | Height |  | Weight |  | BMI |  |
|  | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| 15 | 161 | 149.95 | 5.94 | 42.11 | 6.19 | 18.71 | 2.39 | 4155 | 151.40 | 5.94 | 43.08 | 6.75 | 18.80 | 2.89 |
| 16 | 165 | 150.94 | 5.14 | 43.95 | 6.21 | 19.26 | 2.26 | 4587 | 151.99 | 5.92 | 44.21 | 6.97 | 19.12 | 2.81 |
| 17 | 163 | 151.23 | 5.02 | 43.88 | 7.16 | 19.16 | 2.27 | 4183 | 152.10 | 5.97 | 44.76 | 6.97 | 19.36 | 3.01 |
| 18 | 190 | 150.76 | 5.85 | 43.39 | 7.39 | 19.08 | 2.25 | 4869 | 152.08 | 5.99 | 45.38 | 7.14 | 19.60 | 2.73 |
| 19 | 150 | 151.28 | 6.23 | 45.28 | 8.24 | 19.95 | 7.03 | 4019 | 152.44 | 6.01 | 45.91 | 7.71 | 19.78 | 3.57 |
| 15-19 | 829 | 150.82 | 5.60 | 43.69 | 7.15 | 19.22 | 3.65 | 21813 | 152.00 | 5.97 | 44.67 | 7.18 | 19.33 | 3.02 |



Fig 1b Mean height of 15-19 years of female



Fig 2b Mean weight of 15-19 years of female


Fig 3a Mean BMI of 15-19 years of male


Fig 3b Mean BMI of 15-19 years of female


Table 3 Age-group wise percentage distribution of short height and under-weight male of 15-19 years across 4 states and India

| Age | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  | India |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Short height | Underwei ght | N | Short height | Underw eight | N | Short height | Underw eight | N | Short height | Underwei ght | N | Short height | Underw eight |
| 15 | 39 | 59.0 | 76.9 | 42 | 47.6 | 69.0 | 72 | 41.7 | 63.9 | 50 | 48.0 | 76.0 | 2204 | 43.2 | 67.1 |
| 16 | 41 | 36.6 | 68.3 | 53 | 26.4 | 77.4 | 88 | 39.8 | 54.5 | 51 | 35.3 | 60.8 | 2654 | 32.9 | 61.0 |
| 17 | 32 | 37.5 | 65.6 | 45 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 62 | 38.7 | 41.9 | 50 | 34.0 | 56.0 | 2384 | 25.7 | 52.0 |
| 18 | 42 | 28.6 | 45.2 | 64 | 29.7 | 54.7 | 89 | 36.0 | 50.6 | 38 | 26.3 | 47.4 | 2761 | 23.5 | 44.8 |
| 19 | 22 | 31.8 | 36.4 | 32 | 25.0 | 43.8 | 64 | 29.7 | 39.1 | 40 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 2173 | 23.3 | 37.4 |
| 15-19 | 176 | 39.2 | 60.2 | 236 | 30.1 | 61.0 | 375 | 37.3 | 50.7 | 229 | 34.9 | 55.0 | 12176 | 29.5 | 52.4 |

In case of male short height $<160.00 \mathrm{~cm}$ and underweight $<18.50$

Table 4 Age-group wise percentage distribution of short height and under-weight Female of 15-19 years across 4 states and India

| Age | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  | India |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Short height | Underwei ght | N | Short height | Underw eight | N | Short height | Underw eight | N | Short height | Underw eight | N | Short height | Underw eight |
| 15 | 115 | 56.5 | 53.9 | 203 | 51.2 | 57.1 | 194 | 45.9 | 53.1 | 161 | 50.9 | 57.1 | 4155 | 39.9 | 50.1 |
| 16 | 131 | 55.7 | 45.8 | 178 | 43.3 | 56.7 | 219 | 44.7 | 44.3 | 165 | 40.6 | 37.0 | 4587 | 36.5 | 44.6 |
| 17 | 130 | 50.8 | 46.2 | 147 | 50.3 | 42.9 | 198 | 41.9 | 46.5 | 163 | 41.1 | 41.7 | 4183 | 36.0 | $39 . .8$ |
| 18 | 144 | 59.0 | 38.2 | 233 | 48.5 | 44.2 | 242 | 44.2 | 39.3 | 190 | 45.8 | 43.2 | 4869 | 36.1 | 36.8 |
| 19 | 111 | 49.5 | 47.7 | 132 | 52.3 | 47.7 | 199 | 41.2 | 39.7 | 150 | 41.3 | 38.7 | 4019 | 33.3 | 35.8 |
| 15-19 | 631 | 54.5 | 46.0 | 893 | 48.9 | 49.9 | 1052 | 43.6 | 44.3 | 829 | 44.0 | 43.5 | 21813 | 36.4 | 41.4 |

In case of female, Short height $<150.00 \mathrm{~cm}$ and underweight $<18.5$



Fig: 5a Percentage distribution of underweight among the males


Fig: 5b Percentage distribution of underweight children among the females


Table 5 Relationship between 15-19 years male mean height with different socio-economic variables in four states of India

| Socio-conomic variables | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | Height | Anova 'F' value |
| Place Of <br> Residence |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 28.710 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.812 \\ & .029 \\ & \text { df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.954 \\ & .163 \\ & \text { df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .033 \\ & .857 \\ & \text { df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 98 | 159.85 |  | 103 | 162.29 |  | 167 | 162.17 |  | 141 | 162.72 |  |
| Urban | 78 | 165.58 |  | 133 | 164.32 |  | 208 | 163.17 |  | 88 | 162.55 |  |
| Caste/Tribe |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.088 \\ & .001 \\ & \text { df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.403 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.897 \\ & .111 \\ & \text { df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.754 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| SC | 24 | 161.06 |  | 35 | 161.56 |  | 92 | 161.76 |  | 40 | 159.85 |  |
| ST | 29 | 157.70 |  | 2 | 165.45 |  | 12 | 164.28 |  | 49 | 160.33 |  |
| OBC | 93 | 163.56 |  | 146 | 162.47 |  | 21 | 165.88 |  | 63 | 163.67 |  |
| Others | 29 | 164.70 |  | 53 | 167.25 |  | 196 | 163.30 |  | 75 | 164.86 |  |
| Male education |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.039 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.388 \\ .000 \\ \text { df } 3 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.872 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.798 \\ & .148 \\ & \text { df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Illiterate | 20 | 159.68 |  | 28 | 160.67 |  | 29 | 160.70 |  | 14 | 158.46 |  |
| Primary | 22 | 156.30 |  | 36 | 159.59 |  | 94 | 160.27 |  | 28 | 162.32 |  |
| Secondary | 131 | 163.75 |  | 163 | 164.62 |  | 248 | 163.77 |  | 178 | 162.99 |  |
| Higher | 3 | 165.70 |  | 9 | 166.09 |  | 4 | 170.52 |  | 9 | 163.16 |  |
| MALE AGE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.378 \\ & .011 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.488 \\ & .044 \\ & \text { Df4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.933 \\ & .021 \\ & \text { Df4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.228 \\ & .003 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 39 | 158.89 |  | 42 | 160.96 |  | 72 | 160.84 |  | 50 | 159.79 |  |
| 16 | 41 | 162.53 |  | 53 | 164.28 |  | 88 | 162.60 |  | 51 | 161.70 |  |
| 17 | 32 | 162.71 |  | 45 | 165.01 |  | 62 | 163.16 |  | 50 | 164.36 |  |
| 18 | 42 | 164.75 |  | 64 | 162.66 |  | 89 | 162.57 |  | 38 | 163.73 |  |
| 19 | 22 | 163.35 |  | 32 | 164.64 |  | 64 | 164.81 |  | 40 | 164.29 |  |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11.100 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.003 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.306 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.139 \\ & .003 \\ & \text { df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Poorest | 47 | 157.44 |  | 28 | 158.62 |  | 48 | 160.83 |  | 71 | 160.41 |  |
| Poorer | 33 | 161.57 |  | 52 | 161.98 |  | 64 | 161.93 |  | 44 | 162.04 |  |
| Middle | 25 | 164.19 |  | 40 | 163.56 |  | 60 | 161.54 |  | 33 | 163.38 |  |
| Richer | 34 | 163.63 |  | 48 | 163.81 |  | 126 | 162.61 |  | 45 | 164.14 |  |
| Richest | 37 | 167.04 |  | 68 | 166.20 |  | 77 | 165.68 |  | 36 | 165.29 |  |

SC Scheduled caste, $\mathrm{ST}=$ Scheduled Tribe, OBC= Other Backward classes, Others= Other General caste

Table 6 Relationship between 15-19 years Female mean height with different socio-economic variables in four states of India

| Socio-conomic variables | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | Height | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
| Place of residence |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18.251 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.941 \\ & .003 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.746 \\ & .388 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.618 \\ & .006 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 402 | 148.86 |  | 566 | 149.59 |  | 529 | 150.49 |  | 594 | 150.49 |  |
| Urban | 229 | 150.88 |  | 327 | 150.73 |  | 523 | 150.78 |  | 235 | 151.67 |  |
| Caste/Tribe |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16.699 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.980 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.346 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.276 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| SC | 90 | 148.21 |  | 143 | 148.13 |  | 298 | 149.62 |  | 156 | 149.90 |  |
| ST | 135 | 147.98 |  | 4 | 147.08 |  | 51 | 149.66 |  | 195 | 149.64 |  |
| OBC | 285 | 149.55 |  | 527 | 149.77 |  | 28 | 152.06 |  | 247 | 151.27 |  |
| Others | 121 | 152.52 |  | 219 | 151.86 |  | 675 | 151.09 |  | 231 | 151.96 |  |
| FEMALE education |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.990 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11.069 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.784 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.725 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Illiterate | 227 | 148.45 |  | 360 | 148.95 |  | 149 | 148.96 |  | 187 | 149.57 |  |
| Primary | 91 | 148.17 |  | 105 | 149.28 |  | 237 | 149.32 |  | 132 | 150.23 |  |
| Secondary | 296 | 150.81 |  | 422 | 151.11 |  | 652 | 151.44 |  | 494 | 151.36 |  |
| Higher | 16 | 151.12 |  | 6 | 148.13 |  | 14 | 153.26 |  | 16 | 153.77 |  |
| FEMALE AGE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .597 \\ & .665 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.420 \\ & .225 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .520 \\ & .721 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.474 \\ & .208 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 115 | 149.22 |  | 203 | 149.56 |  | 194 | 150.25 |  | 161 | 149.95 |  |
| 16 | 131 | 149.88 |  | 178 | 150.74 |  | 219 | 150.62 |  | 165 | 150.94 |  |
| 17 | 130 | 149.32 |  | 147 | 149.91 |  | 198 | 150.82 |  | 163 | 151.23 |  |
| 18 | 144 | 149.42 |  | 233 | 150.17 |  | 242 | 150.53 |  | 190 | 150.76 |  |
| 19 | 111 | 150.18 |  | 132 | 149.52 |  | 199 | 150.97 |  | 150 | 151.28 |  |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.343 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16.969 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.681 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16.160 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Poorest | 256 | 148.57 |  | 177 | 148.22 |  | 170 | 150.12 |  | 288 | 149.74 |  |
| Poorer | 91 | 148.25 |  | 217 | 149.24 |  | 206 | 150.12 |  | 147 | 150.25 |  |
| Middle | 82 | 149.85 |  | 170 | 150.15 |  | 183 | 149.79 |  | 158 | 150.06 |  |
| Richer | 102 | 149.93 |  | 173 | 150.08 |  | 279 | 150.66 |  | 121 | 151.96 |  |
| Richest | 100 | 152.89 |  | 156 | 152.84 |  | 214 | 152.22 |  | 115 | 154.13 |  |

SC Scheduled caste, ST=Scheduled Tribe, OBC= Other Backward classes, Others= Other General caste

Table 7 Relationship between 15-19 years male mean weight with different socio-economic variables in four states of India

| Socio-conomic variables | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Weight | Anova 'F' value | N | Weight | Anova ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{F}$ ' value | N | Weight | Anova ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{F}$ ' value | N | Weight | Anova ' $F$ ' value |
| Place of residence |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21.684 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.421 \\ & .066 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18.759 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.563 \\ & .111 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 98 | 46.04 |  | 103 | 47.46 |  | 167 | 47.82 |  | 141 | 49.02 |  |
| Urban | 78 | 50.99 |  | 133 | 49.14 |  | 208 | 51.30 |  | 88 | 50.94 |  |
| Caste/Tribe |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.038 \\ & .031 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7.607 \\ .000 \\ \text { Df } 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.613 \\ & .007 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.182 \\ & .007 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| SC | 24 | 47.15 |  | 35 | 45.14 |  | 92 | 47.61 |  | 40 | 47.28 |  |
| ST | 29 | 45.41 |  | 2 | 49.50 |  | 12 | 50.58 |  | 49 | 47.42 |  |
| OBC | 93 | 48.58 |  | 146 | 47.93 |  | 21 | 53.85 |  | 63 | 50.36 |  |
| Others | 29 | 50.95 |  | 53 | 51.81 |  | 196 | 50.52 |  | 75 | 52.13 |  |
| MALE education |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.725 \\ & .001 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.779 \\ & .011 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.229 \\ & .006 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.951 \\ & .002 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Illiterate | 20 | 46.97 |  | 28 | 46.68 |  | 29 | 48.40 |  | 14 | 46.09 |  |
| Primary | 22 | 42.67 |  | 36 | 46.35 |  | 94 | 47.77 |  | 28 | 50.04 |  |
| Secondary | 131 | 49.33 |  | 163 | 48.85 |  | 248 | 50.59 |  | 178 | 49.49 |  |
| Higher | 3 | 49.23 |  | 9 | 53.81 |  | 4 | 56.00 |  | 9 | 59.83 |  |
| MALE AGE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.333 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.225 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.831 \\ & .005 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.379 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 39 | 44.51 |  | 42 | 45.26 |  | 72 | 47.29 |  | 50 | 45.10 |  |
| 16 | 41 | 47.74 |  | 53 | 48.39 |  | 88 | 49.26 |  | 51 | 48.16 |  |
| 17 | 32 | 47.22 |  | 45 | 49.82 |  | 62 | 50.40 |  | 50 | 50.08 |  |
| 18 | 42 | 51.97 |  | 64 | 47.69 |  | 89 | 49.85 |  | 38 | 51.77 |  |
| 19 | 22 | 50.02 |  | 32 | 51.96 |  | 64 | 52.41 |  | 40 | 55.27 |  |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10.308 \\ .000 \\ \text { Df } 4 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.957 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.522 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.304 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Poorest | 47 | 44.68 |  | 28 | 44.15 |  | 48 | 45.86 |  | 71 | 47.17 |  |
| Poorer | 33 | 46.88 |  | 52 | 47.80 |  | 64 | 47.85 |  | 44 | 48.14 |  |
| Middle | 25 | 48.94 |  | 40 | 48.31 |  | 60 | 48.05 |  | 33 | 48.70 |  |
| Richer | 34 | 47.73 |  | 48 | 47.27 |  | 126 | 49.70 |  | 45 | 51.28 |  |
| Richest | 37 | 53.90 |  | 68 | 51.46 |  | 77 | 55.15 |  | 36 | 55.88 |  |

SC Scheduled caste, ST=Scheduled Tribe, OBC= Other Backward classes, Others= Other General caste

Table 8 Relationship between 15-19 years Female mean weight with different socio-economic variables in four states of India

| Socio-conomic variables | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Weight | Anova 'F' value | N | Weight | Anova ' $F$ ' value | N | Weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | Weight | Anova 'F' value |
| Place of residence |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16.256 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.103 \\ & .147 \\ & \text { Df1 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 39.823 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.271 \\ & .007 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 402 | 41.73 |  | 566 | 42.06 |  | 529 | 42.38 |  | 594 | 43.26 |  |
| Urban | 229 | 43.68 |  | 327 | 42.65 |  | 523 | 45.11 |  | 235 | 44.76 |  |
| Caste/Tribe |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.916 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.058 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.338 \\ & .001 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.917 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| SC | 90 | 41.14 |  | 143 | 40.79 |  | 298 | 42.87 |  | 156 | 42.37 |  |
| ST | 135 | 42.01 |  | 4 | 43.92 |  | 51 | 41.08 |  | 195 | 42.34 |  |
| OBC | 285 | 42.05 |  | 527 | 42.12 |  | 28 | 44.89 |  | 247 | 43.93 |  |
| Others | 121 | 44.81 |  | 219 | 43.57 |  | 675 | 44.27 |  | 231 | 45.46 |  |
| FEMALE education |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.353 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.277 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.982 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.298 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Illiterate | 227 | 41.30 |  | 360 | 41.35 |  | 149 | 41.42 |  | 187 | 41.75 |  |
| Primary | 91 | 40.33 |  | 105 | 41.76 |  | 237 | 42.43 |  | 132 | 43.24 |  |
| Secondary | 296 | 43.79 |  | 422 | 43.21 |  | 652 | 44.67 |  | 494 | 44.46 |  |
| Higher | 16 | 45.75 |  | 6 | 40.36 |  | 14 | 47.06 |  | 16 | 46.18 |  |
| FEMALE AGE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.584 \\ & .177 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.153 \\ & .002 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.850 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.935 \\ & .004 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 115 | 41.63 |  | 203 | 41.18 |  | 194 | 41.95 |  | 161 | 42.11 |  |
| 16 | 131 | 42.53 |  | 178 | 41.67 |  | 219 | 43.18 |  | 165 | 43.94 |  |
| 17 | 130 | 41.86 |  | 147 | 42.48 |  | 198 | 43.86 |  | 163 | 43.87 |  |
| 18 | 144 | 43.16 |  | 233 | 43.10 |  | 242 | 44.45 |  | 190 | 43.39 |  |
| 19 | 111 | 42.91 |  | 132 | 42.27 |  | 199 | 45.09 |  | 150 | 45.28 |  |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16.126 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.947 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27.425 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.220 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Poorest | 256 | 41.41 |  | 177 | 41.03 |  | 170 | 41.57 |  | 288 | 41.91 |  |
| Poorer | 91 | 41.35 |  | 217 | 41.57 |  | 206 | 41.98 |  | 147 | 42.95 |  |
| Middle | 82 | 42.27 |  | 170 | 42.12 |  | 183 | 42.04 |  | 158 | 43.40 |  |
| Richer | 102 | 42.13 |  | 173 | 42.24 |  | 279 | 44.63 |  | 121 | 45.09 |  |
| Richest | 100 | 46.52 |  | 156 | 44.86 |  | 214 | 47.43 |  | 115 | 47.99 |  |

SC Scheduled caste, ST=Scheduled Tribe, OBC= Other Backward classes, Others= Other General caste

Table 9 Relationship between 15-19 years male mean BMI with different socio-economic variables in four states of India

| Socio-conomic variables | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | BMI | Anova 'F' value | N | BMI | Anova ' F ' value | N | BMI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | BMI | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
| Place of residence |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.920 \\ & .049 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .419 \\ & .518 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19.612 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.832 \\ & .029 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 98 | 17.97 |  | 103 | 17.98 |  | 167 | 18.13 |  | 141 | 18.43 |  |
| Urban | 78 | 18.55 |  | 133 | 18.15 |  | 208 | 19.22 |  | 88 | 19.19 |  |
| Caste/Tribe |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .620 \\ & .603 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.631 \\ & .051 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.877 \\ & .023 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.113 \\ & .345 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| SC | 24 | 18.09 |  | 35 | 17.27 |  | 92 | 18.17 |  | 40 | 18.41 |  |
| ST | 29 | 18.22 |  | 2 | 18.02 |  | 12 | 18.67 |  | 49 | 18.40 |  |
| OBC | 93 | 18.12 |  | 146 | 18.12 |  | 21 | 19.01 |  | 63 | 18.68 |  |
| Others | 29 | 18.67 |  | 53 | 18.48 |  | 196 | 18.89 |  | 75 | 19.14 |  |
| MALE education |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.484 \\ & .221 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.785 \\ & .151 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .390 \\ & .760 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.015 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Illiterate | 20 | 18.40 |  | 28 | 18.07 |  | 29 | 18.62 |  | 14 | 18.31 |  |
| Primary | 22 | 17.42 |  | 36 | 18.14 |  | 94 | 18.54 |  | 28 | 18.91 |  |
| Secondary | 131 | 18.34 |  | 163 | 17.98 |  | 248 | 18.82 |  | 178 | 18.54 |  |
| Higher | 3 | 17.94 |  | 9 | 19.57 |  | 4 | 19.30 |  | 9 | 22.35 |  |
| MALE AGE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.146 \\ & .003 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.947 \\ & .004 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.938 \\ & .103 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.490 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 39 | 17.60 |  | 42 | 17.39 |  | 72 | 18.18 |  | 50 | 17.59 |  |
| 16 | 41 | 18.02 |  | 53 | 17.89 |  | 88 | 18.61 |  | 51 | 18.34 |  |
| 17 | 32 | 17.78 |  | 45 | 18.26 |  | 62 | 18.90 |  | 50 | 18.49 |  |
| 18 | 42 | 19.07 |  | 64 | 18.01 |  | 89 | 18.80 |  | 38 | 19.28 |  |
| 19 | 22 | 18.74 |  | 32 | 19.16 |  | 64 | 19.29 |  | 40 | 20.38 |  |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.455 \\ & .010 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.369 \\ & .053 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.230 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.377 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Poorest | 47 | 17.97 |  | 28 | 17.55 |  | 48 | 17.64 |  | 71 | 18.27 |  |
| Poorer | 33 | 17.94 |  | 52 | 18.20 |  | 64 | 18.24 |  | 44 | 18.28 |  |
| Middle | 25 | 18.10 |  | 40 | 18.06 |  | 60 | 18.39 |  | 33 | 18.20 |  |
| Richer | 34 | 17.83 |  | 48 | 17.56 |  | 126 | 18.76 |  | 45 | 18.93 |  |
| Richest | 37 | 19.25 |  | 68 | 18.57 |  | 77 | 20.07 |  | 36 | 20.36 |  |

SC= Scheduled caste, $\mathrm{ST}=$ Scheduled Tribe, $\mathrm{OBC}=$ Other Backward classes, Others= Other General caste

Table 10 Relationship between 15-19 years Female mean BMI with different socio-economic variables in four states of India

| Socioconomic variables | Jharkhand |  |  | Bihar |  |  | West Bengal |  |  | Orissa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | BMI | Anova ' $F$ ' value | N | BMI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | BMI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Anova } \\ & \text { 'F' } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | N | BMI | Anova 'F' value |
| Place of residence |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.726 \\ & .054 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .048 \\ & .826 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 43.331 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .827 \\ & .363 \\ & \text { Df } 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rural | 402 | 18.81 |  | 566 | 18.78 |  | 529 | 18.69 |  | 594 | 19.14 |  |
| Urban | 229 | 19.16 |  | 327 | 18.75 |  | 523 | 19.80 |  | 235 | 19.40 |  |
| Caste/Tribe |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.810 \\ & .144 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.118 \\ & .341 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.560 \\ .054 \\ \text { Df } 3 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.034 \\ & .029 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| SC | 90 | 18.71 |  | 143 | 18.58 |  | 298 | 19.12 |  | 156 | 18.84 |  |
| ST | 135 | 19.14 |  | 4 | 20.31 |  | 51 | 18.32 |  | 195 | 18.89 |  |
| OBC | 285 | 18.79 |  | 527 | 18.76 |  | 28 | 19.35 |  | 247 | 19.16 |  |
| Others | 121 | 19.22 |  | 219 | 18.88 |  | 675 | 19.36 |  | 231 | 19.80 |  |
| FEMALE education |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.833 \\ & .001 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.127 \\ & .337 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.541 \\ & .004 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.302 \\ & .076 \\ & \text { Df } 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Illiterate | 227 | 18.72 |  | 360 | 18.62 |  | 149 | 18.64 |  | 187 | 18.63 |  |
| Primary | 91 | 18.37 |  | 105 | 18.74 |  | 237 | 19.01 |  | 132 | 19.15 |  |
| Secondary | 296 | 19.23 |  | 422 | 18.91 |  | 652 | 19.45 |  | 494 | 19.44 |  |
| Higher | 16 | 20.01 |  | 6 | 18.32 |  | 14 | 20.04 |  | 16 | 19.47 |  |
| FEMALE <br> AGE |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1.814 \\ .124 \\ \text { Df } 4 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 5.990 \\ .000 \\ \text { Df } 4 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.725 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.402 \\ & .048 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 115 | 18.65 |  | 203 | 18.39 |  | 194 | 18.57 |  | 161 | 18.70 |  |
| 16 | 131 | 18.90 |  | 178 | 18.33 |  | 219 | 19.02 |  | 165 | 19.26 |  |
| 17 | 130 | 18.75 |  | 147 | 18.89 |  | 198 | 19.25 |  | 163 | 19.16 |  |
| 18 | 144 | 19.31 |  | 233 | 19.10 |  | 242 | 19.58 |  | 190 | 19.08 |  |
| 19 | 111 | 19.02 |  | 132 | 19.24 |  | 199 | 19.73 |  | 150 | 19.95 |  |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.391 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.684 \\ & .152 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.792 \\ & .000 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.101 \\ & .003 \\ & \text { Df } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Poorest | 256 | 18.74 |  | 177 | 18.66 |  | 170 | 18.43 |  | 288 | 18.68 |  |
| Poorer | 91 | 18.77 |  | 217 | 18.65 |  | 206 | 18.60 |  | 147 | 19.02 |  |
| Middle | 82 | 18.82 |  | 170 | 18.67 |  | 183 | 18.73 |  | 158 | 19.46 |  |
| Richer | 102 | 18.77 |  | 173 | 18.74 |  | 279 | 19.63 |  | 121 | 19.49 |  |
| Richest | 100 | 19.86 |  | 156 | 19.19 |  | 214 | 20.44 |  | 115 | 20.18 |  |

SC Scheduled caste, ST=Scheduled Tribe, OBC= Other Backward classes, Others= Other General caste

Table 11 Logistic Regression analysis of short height and underweight on different socio-economic variables in 15-19 years of males across four states of India

| Socioeconomic variables | Jharkhand |  | Bihar |  | West Bengal |  | Orissa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short height | Under weight | Short height | Under weight | Short height | Under weight | Short height | Under weight |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Urban | .378* | 1.922 | . 730 | . 907 | 1.206 | 1.057 | 1.522 | . 764 |
| Social group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muslim | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Hindu SC | 1.951 | 1.648 | . 893 | . 908 | . 982 | . 897 | 5.708 | 1.522 |
| Non <br> Christian ST | 1.723 | . 719 | - | - | . 242 | . 606 | 8.308 | 1.766 |
| Christian ST | 1.803 | 1.040 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hindu Other | -- | -- | - | - | 1.011 | 1.320 | - | - |
| Other religion | -- | --- | - | - | 7.203 | . 236 | 2.118 | 1.909 |
| MALE education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Primary | 6.498** | 3.552 | 1.876 | . 748 | 1.041 | 1.055 | .157* | 1.086 |
| Secondary | . 968 | 2.607 | . 698 | 1.311 | . 474 | 1.424 | .183* | 1.665 |
| Higher | . 000 | 5.290 | . 394 | . 650 | . 000 | 2.772 | . 998 | . 374 |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Poorer | . 388 | . 642 | . 680 | . 673 | . 758 | .442* | . 458 | 1.089 |
| Middle | . 453 | . 777 | .268* | . 729 | . 789 | . 538 | . 518 | 1.185 |
| Richer | .304* | . 628 | . 441 | 1.346 | . 587 | .336* | . 588 | . 841 |
| Richest | . 339 | .202* | .268* | . 595 | .304* | .143* | .183* | . 896 |

$<0.01=1 \%$ level $^{* *}, \quad 0.01-0.05=5 \%$ level $^{*}$

Table 12 Logistic Regression analysis of short height and underweight on different socio-economic variables in 15-19 years of females across four states of India

| Socio- | Jharkhand |  | Bihar |  | West Bengal |  | Orissa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short height | Under weight | Short height | Under weight | Short height | Under weight | Short height | Under weight |
| Place of <br> residence         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Urban | 1.176 | 1.165 | 1.197 | 1.266 | 1.578* | . 885 | 1.187 | 1.285 |
| Social group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muslim | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Hindu SC | 2.029* | . 646 | 1.791* | 1.084 | 1.263 | .636* | 1.620 | .240* |
| Non <br> Christian ST | 2.877** | .497* | 4.848 | . 000 | . 745 | . 703 | 1.943 | .256* |
| Christian ST | 1.804 | . 384 | - | - | 2.633 | . 610 | 1.593 | .176* |
| Hindu Other | 1.459 | . 735 | 1.286 | . 738 | . 854 | . 833 | 1.349 | .249* |
| Other religion | 1.183 | . 546 | . 000 | . 000 | . 979 | 1.777 | . 737 | .165* |
| FEMALE education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Primary | 1.288 | 1.190 | . 793 | . 943 | . 897 | . 829 | . 808 | . $589 *$ |
| Secondary | .599* | . 703 | 1.033 | . 914 | .588* | . 936 | . 790 | . 883 |
| Higher | . 881 | . 422 | 5.979* | 2.305 | .086* | 1.201 | . 372 | . 648 |
| WEALTH INDEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Poorer | 1.444 | 1.080 | . 764 | . 902 | 1.096 | . 963 | . 880 | . 802 |
| Middle | . 846 | 1.319 | . $474 * *$ | 1.019 | 1.143 | . 742 | 1.033 | . 707 |
| Richer | . 797 | . 994 | .488* | . 896 | . 847 | .527* | .561* | . 640 |
| Richest | .359* | . 525 | .172** | . 683 | .540* | . $327 * *$ | .433* | . $461 *$ |

[^0]
[^0]:    $<0.01=1 \%$ level $* *, \quad 0.01-0.05=5 \%$ level $^{*}$

