Prevalence of hypertension and its association with body fat percentage among government

and private schoolgirls in Ludhiana

Jaspreet Kaur* and Promila Mehta**

*Govt. College for Women, Ludhiana **Department of Human Biology, Punjabi University Patiala.

Corresponding author: Jaspreet Kaur, Govt. College for Women, Ludhiana Email: Jaspreet71@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Primary hypertension among children and adolescents is on the rise. To analyze the prevalence of primary hypertension in children and its association with body fat percentage, a study was conducted where 1049 girls aged between 10-16 years studying in private schools and government schools were examined. The study was based on the premise that the girls in private schools belong to more affluent families as compared to their counterparts in government schools. The body density was determined by using appropriate regression equations given by Durnin and Wormersley (1974) and body fat percentage was calculated from body density equation by using Siri (1961) criteria. Blood pressure was recorded and prevalence of hypertension was determined by using the fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents suggested by U.S. Department of health and human services (2004). This study found that the systolic and diastolic blood pressure of richer girls from private schools was higher than their relatively poorer counterparts studying in government schools. The difference between the systolic blood pressure of these groups was significantly higher at all age groups in private school girls and similarly diastolic blood pressure difference was significant at all age groups except at 14 years with the private school girls showing higher values as compared to the government school girls. The private school girls also possessed higher body fat percentage than government school girls. Positive association of increase in blood pressure with increase in body fat percentage was also found.

Keywords: Hypertension, Body fat, Schoolgirls, Ludhiana, Life style, Social stratification

INTRODUCTION

Developing countries like India are undergoing demographic and socioeconomic transition. This rapidly changing scenario has resulted into epidemiological transition where the nation is struggling with the dual burden of under and over nutrition. In our country, the economic transition has increased the availability of food and purchasing power which has resulted in over nutrition in neo rich populations leading to overweight, obesity and many life style disorders (Jafar et al., 2005; Rao et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2007; Sidhu and Prabhjot, 2007; Kaur et al. 2009a, 2009b, Kaur and Mehta, 2012). The often ignored but potentially dangerous malady of hypertension has been on the rise all over the world. The trend has been highly marked amongst the adolescents because of a variety of reasons, the most common ones being the increasing popularity of fast foods and lack of exercise, with T.V and computer having stolen the space of outdoor activities. These lifestyle trends lead to increased incidence of obesity and overweight amongst school going children. Once considered rare, primary hypertension in children has been increasingly common in association with obesity and overweight as reported in a study on rural Canadian children by Salvadori et al. (2008) and on Brazilian children by Ferreira and Aydos (2010). The role of hypertension, especially at a young age, as a risk factor for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases later on in life is well documented (WHO Expert Committee Report, 1978; Yamani and Massie, 1994; and Petrovich et al., 1995). There is, however, very little data available on the incidence of sustained hypertension as on date even though population based epidemiological studies have conclusively shown primary hypertension to be predominant among apparently healthy children (Hari et al., 2000). Further, it is important to find a relation between prevalence of hypertension and the lifestyle of an individual as hypertension is known to be a lifestyle related disease.

With these aims in mind, this study undertook to determine the hypertension and association of hypertension with body fat in adolescent school going girls in Ludhiana with their socio economic status.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study is based on a cross sectional sample of 1049 school going girls between 10-16 years of age, residing in urban Ludhiana (Punjab). It is a well known fact that girls studying in private school girls are from sound socioeconomic background as economically weaker families

cannot afford to send their children to expensive schools and usually send their children to government schools. In this study the blood pressure of the government and private school going girls was taken along with the body density, which was determined by using appropriate regression equations given by Durnin and Wormesley (1974). The body fat percentage was calculated from body density equation given by Siri (1961).

By using the fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents suggested by U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (NHBPEP, 2004) criteria, the subjects of the study were characterized as non hypertensive, prehypertensive and hypertensive according to the B.P percentiles.

For interpretation of hypertension, children below 90th percentile were considered normal; between 90th - 95th percentile pre hypertensive. Blood pressure equal to or exceeding 120/80 mmHg was considered pre hypertension, even if this figure was less than the 90th percentile. Blood pressure greater than the 95th percentile was considered Stage-I hypertension and above 99th percentile was considered Stage-II hypertension. For the purpose of finding relationship between body fat and hypertension, the children above 90th percentile were included in hypertensive category.

The mean pressure for establishing the relationship between body fat and hypertension was calculated by using the following formula:

MAP= $\{(2 \times \text{diastolic blood pressure}) + \text{systolic blood pressure}\} / 3$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study is based on the premise that the girls in private and government schools belong to distinctly different socioeconomic strata. It was seen during interaction with these girls that those studying in private schools belong to relatively affluent families as compared to their counterparts in government schools who mostly came from lower end of the socioeconomic strata.

Comparison of Hypertension profile of government and private school girls:

Tables 1 and 2 show the comparison of systolic and diastolic blood pressure between 10-16 years. The results indicate that private schoolgirls show higher values of systolic and diastolic blood pressure at all age groups studied. The difference between the systolic blood pressure of

these groups is significant at all age groups and diastolic blood pressure difference is significant at all age groups except at 14 years.

In the private school girls, the percentage of pre- hypertensive girls as per systolic blood pressure (90-95th percentile of NHBPEP reference tables) was found between 2.19% and 30% with the lowest (2.19%) being at 10 years of age and the highest of 30% at 15 years of age. The percentage of hypertensive girls (>95th percentile) was the highest (11.1%) at 13 years and the lowest (3.29%) at 10 years. These results were in contrast to those of government school girls where the percentage of pre-hypertensive children was between 1.53% and 8.10% with the lowest being at 10 years and the highest at 14 years. The comparison of percentage of hypertensive children (>95th percentile NHBPEP reference tables) between the two groups also yielded marked differences, with the highest being 9.45% at 15 years in private school girls and the lowest being as low as 0% at 10 years of age in case of government school girls (Table 4). Table 1 Systolic blood pressure (mm of Hg) of girls studying in private and government schools

| | SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE (mm of Hg) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|------|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|
| Age | | PRI | VATE | | | GOVER | D | t valuo | | | | |
| (Years) | N | Mean | SD | SEM | N | Mean | SD | SEM | U | t-value | | |
| 10 | 91 | 96.64 | 11.94 | 1.25 | 65 | 88.72 | 13.30 | 1.65 | 7.92 | 3.89** | | |
| 11 | 66 | 105.97 | 17.15 | 2.11 | 75 | 96.33 | 15.41 | 1.78 | 9.64 | 3.51** | | |
| 12 | 66 | 110.55 | 9.64 | 1.19 | 82 | 95.17 | 14.56 | 1.61 | 15.38 | 7.37** | | |
| 13 | 72 | 113.19 | 12.46 | 1.47 | 100 | 97.93 | 14.60 | 1.46 | 15.26 | 7.18** | | |
| 14 | 65 | 112.22 | 8.53 | 1.06 | 74 | 106.68 | 16.18 | 1.88 | 5.54 | 2.47* | | |
| 15 | 70 | 121.54 | 5.59 | 0.67 | 74 | 104.95 | 14.16 | 1.65 | 16.59 | 9.16** | | |
| 16 | 71 | 117.89 | 9.50 | 1.13 | 65 | 110.43 | 12.37 | 1.53 | 7.46 | 3.96** | | |

* p<0.5, ** p< 0.001

D- actual difference

The values of diastolic blood pressure in case of pre-hypertensive girls in private schools increased from 3.29% to 27.77% with the lowest at 10 years and the highest at 13 years of age. The percentage of hypertensive girls (>95th percentile NHBPEP reference tables) in this group was the highest (30%) at 15 years and the lowest (3.29%) at 10 years of age (Table4).

| Table 2 Dias | stolic blood | l pressure | (mm/Hg) | of girls | studying in | private and | government | schools |
|--------------|--------------|------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|
|--------------|--------------|------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|

| | DIASTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE (mm of Hg) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|------|------|-----|-------|----------|-----------|------|---------|--|
| Age Group (Years) | | PRIV | ATE | | | GOVER | | 4 | | | |
| | N | Mean | SD | SEM | N | Mean | SD | SEM | υ | t-value | |
| 10 | 91 | 61.47 | 8.8 | 0.92 | 65 | 56.71 | 14.05 | 1.74 | 4.76 | 2.60* | |
| 11 | 66 | 72.70 | 8.84 | 1.09 | 75 | 66.27 | 12.58 | 1.45 | 6.43 | 3.47** | |
| 12 | 66 | 72.62 | 8.25 | 1.02 | 82 | 64.26 | 10.29 | 1.14 | 8.36 | 5.36** | |
| 13 | 72 | 73.64 | 9.60 | 1.13 | 100 | 66.60 | 11.21 | 1.12 | 7.04 | 4.31** | |
| 14 | 65 | 72.78 | 7.54 | 0.94 | 74 | 71.11 | 11.88 | 1.38 | 1.67 | 0.98 | |
| 15 | 70 | 79.94 | 4.68 | 0.56 | 74 | 70.62 | 10.75 | 1.25 | 9.32 | 6.68** | |
| 16 | 71 | 77.18 | 6.56 | 0.78 | 65 | 72.34 | 8.89 | 1.10 | 4.84 | 3.64** | |
| * p<0.5. | ** p<(| 0.001 | | | | Ľ | - actual | differenc | e | • | |

The comparison of diastolic blood pressure of pre-hypertensive girls of both types of schools was not as contrasting as in case of hypertensive girls (>95th percentile NHBPEP reference tables) .The percentage of hypertensive girls studying in private schools was maximum (15.27%) at13 years and minimum (3.29%) at10 years (Table 4). In government

school girls, these values were much lower (lowest 1.53% at 10 years and highest 13.51% at 14 years).

Body fat in relation to hypertension:

The body fat percentage was calculated by Siri (1961) equation using density estimation by Durnin and Wormesley (1974) linear regression equations. The values obtained were on higher side and therefore used for comparing the fatness of private and government school girls (Table 3).

| Age Group years | Type of school | | Bo Accor equa | ody fat ding to ation (1 | | t-value | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------|--------|
| | | Ν | Μ | SD | SEM | D | |
| 10 | Private | 91 | 27.72 | 6.87 | 0.72 | 9 72 | 10.01* |
| 10 | Government | 65 | 18 | 4.42 | 0.55 |).12 | |
| 11 | Private | 79 | 31.14 | 6.26 | 0.7 | 10.98 | 11.22* |
| 11 | Government | 75 | 20.16 | 5.85 | 0.68 | 10.70 | |
| 12 | Private | 66 | 35.24 | 5.79 | 0.71 | 13.00 | 14.23* |
| 12 | Government | 82 | 22.15 | 5.37 | 0.59 | 15.09 | |
| 12 | Private | 72 | 33.7 | 6.32 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 10.85* |
| 15 | Government | 100 | 23.89 | 5.49 | 0.55 | 9.01 | |
| 14 | Private | 65 | 32.52 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 6.07 | 6.96* |
| 14 | Government | 74 | 25.23 | 6.53 | 0.76 | 0.97 | |
| 15 | Private | 70 | 35.25 | 5.78 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 9.59* |
| 15 | Government | 74 | 26.18 | 6.72 | 0.78 | 9.07 | |
| 16 | Private | 71 | 36.1 | 5.84 | 0.69 | 7.0 | 7.70* |
| 10 | Government | 65 | 28.82 | 5.09 | 0.63 | 1.9 | |
| * p<0.001 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | D - actu | al differe | nce |

Table 3 Body fat percentage of girls studying in private and government schools

The mean body fat percentage of hypertensive group was observed between 24.28% to 34.61% according to Siri's equation. The maximum fat percentage was recorded at 15 years in this group.

Table 4. Percentage of pre-hypertensive, hypertensive-1 and Hypertensive 11 private and government school girls According to NHBPEP guidelines(2004). NHBPEP (National High Blood Pressure Education Program) – *Pediatrics*

| AGE | | Systo | lic Blood Press | sure | Diasto | lic Blood Pro | essure |
|------|---------|------------|-----------------|------|------------|---------------|--------|
| IN | TYPE OF | Pre- | | | Pre- | | |
| YEAR | SCHOOL | hypertensi | | HT- | hypertensi | | |
| S | | ve | HT-1* | 11** | ve | HT-1* | HT-11* |
| 10 | PRIVATE | 2.19 | 3.29 | 0 | 3.29 | 3.29 | 0 |
| | GOVT | 1.53 | 0 | 0 | 7.69 | 1.53 | 0 |
| 11 | PRIVATE | 5.06 | 3.79 | 6.32 | 20.25 | 3.79 | 6.32 |
| | GOVT | 4 | 6.66 | 1.33 | 4 | 5.33 | 5.33 |
| 12 | PRIVATE | 16.66 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 19.69 | 9.09 | 6.06 |
| | GOVT | 3.65 | 3.65 | 0 | 7.31 | 6.09 | 0 |
| 13 | PRIVATE | 4.16 | 5.55 | 5.55 | 27.77 | 11.11 | 4.16 |
| | GOVT | 2 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| 14 | PRIVATE | 7.69 | 4.61 | 1.53 | 13.84 | 7.69 | 1.53 |
| | GOVT | 8.10 | 4.05 | 2.70 | 17.56 | 13.51 | 0 |
| 15 | PRIVATE | 30 | 10 | 1.42 | 21.42 | 30 | 0 |
| | GOVT | 4.05 | 6.75 | 2.7 | 24.32 | 10.81 | 0 |
| 16 | PRIVATE | 16.9 | 2.81 | 1.40 | 25.35 | 11.26 | 0 |
| | GOVT | 6.15 | 3.67 | 1.53 | 24.61 | 6.15 | 0 |

(*Hypertension stage-1, **Hypertension stage-11)

The average body fat percentage of non hypertensive group was found to be between 23.63% and 32.52 %. Maximum body fat in this group was recorded at 16 years.

The comparison of body fat percentage of hypertensive and non hypertensive group is shown in Table 5. The hypertensive group had higher body fat percentage as compared to non hypertensive group. These differences are statistically significant in all age groups except at 16 years.

Table 5: Body fat percentage of Hypertensive and non hypertensive group (Siri criteria, 1961)

| Age Group (Years) | | Hyperten | sive gro | oup | Non hypertensive group | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----|----------|----------|------|------------------------|-------|------|------|------|---------|--|
| | Ν | Mean | SD | SEM | N | Mean | SD | SEM | U | t-value | |
| 10 | 10 | 24.28 | 9.75 | 3.08 | 146 | 23.63 | 7.52 | 0.62 | 0.65 | 9.21** | |
| 11 | 43 | 29.28 | 8.46 | 1.29 | 110 | 24.39 | 7.65 | 0.72 | 4.89 | 3.94** | |
| 12 | 28 | 34.07 | 7.61 | 1.43 | 120 | 26.57 | 8.16 | 0.74 | 7.50 | 2.43* | |
| 13 | 32 | 34.01 | 7.68 | 1.35 | 139 | 26.57 | 6.86 | 0.58 | 7.44 | 7.42** | |
| 14 | 29 | 29.44 | 7.32 | 1.35 | 110 | 28.43 | 7.11 | 0.67 | 1.01 | 5.58** | |
| 15 | 47 | 34.61 | 6.31 | 0.92 | 97 | 28.64 | 6.89 | 0.69 | 5.97 | 3.18** | |
| 16 | 15 | 33.41 | 7.45 | 1.92 | 121 | 32.52 | 6.49 | 0.59 | 0.89 | 1.25 | |

* p<0.01, **p<0.001

Г

D - actual difference

٦

However, all these findings are in marked variance to certain other studies on adolescent children where the prevalence of hypertension varied from 0.5% to 2% (Agarwal et al., 1983; Gupta and Ahmad, 1990; Chadha et al. 1999). In a study conducted by Mohan et al. (2004) the prevalence of sustained hypertension in urban school going children from Ludhiana was found to be as high as 6.69% whereas Anjana et al. (2005) found the prevalence of hypertensive children as 7.5% in Amritsar. Similarly this high trend witnessed in the present study could probably be a reason for the increasing incidence of cardiovascular diseases among adults. Lifestyle changes and increasing obesity amongst adolescents, especially from affluent families, could be a 242

contributing factor towards this increasing prevalence of hypertension. The significant difference between private and government school girls could be attributed to the higher consumption of junk food and relatively sedentary lifestyle of the more affluent private school girls.

A positive correlation was found between the body fat percentage and hypertension at all age groups and in both the study groups, i.e. private and government school girls (Figure 1). The hypertensive group possessed higher body fat than non hypertensive group. The difference between the mean body fat percentages is statistically significant at all ages except at 16 years. The maximum differences between the body fat percentage of hypertensive and non hypertensive groups was observed at 12 and 13 years and minimum difference was found at 10 years. This inference appears to be in agreement with a majority of the studies conducted worldwide to study the correlation of high body fat percentage or BMI with hypertension in children. Most of the studies found adolescent or preadolescent children with higher BMI to be at a higher risk for hypertension (Ribeiro et al., 2003; Uscategui et al., 2003; Pandher et al., 2004; Sorof et al., 2004; Moura, 2004; Mohan et al., 2004; Manzoli et al., 2005; Monyeki et al., 2006; Soudarssanane et al., 2006; Rojas et al., 2006; Raj et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2007; Sidhu and Prabhjot, 2007; Taksande et al., 2008; Badaruddoza et al., 2009; Costanzi et al. 2009; Ferreira and Aydos, 2010). An extensive study by Rao et al. (2007) found that prevalence of high blood pressure increased with increasing levels of BMI, weight, triceps skin fold thickness and per cent body fat. Jafar et al. (2005), after studying White and Pakistani children in U.S.A concluded that South Asian children had higher body mass adjusted B.P levels as compared to that of White children. One of the most important and worrying trends is that the number of children falling prey to the malady of hypertension in Ludhiana and its surroundings has been fast increasing in the recent past as is seen from the results of some studies conducted in this region (Verma et al., 1994-1.1%; Mohan et al., 2004-6.69%; Anjana et al., 2005-7.5% and an even higher prevalence in this study). This clearly shows the negative impact of changing lifestyles and dietary habits on the children of our society.

Increased body fat and hypertension is becoming a hallmark in the urban elite of Ludhiana whose consequences would lead to increased mortality and morbidity. The epidemic of increasing body fat and hypertension in children as a result of faulty lifestyle is bound to manifest itself in adult population too. The mushrooming of heart care and slimming centers is an additional evidence of a scary picture of lifestyle diseases.



Body fat percentage

Figure 1: Regression lines for relationship between body fat percentage and Hypertension according to Siri Criteria, 1961.

The comparative data clearly delineates that primary hypertension is an increasing malady of affluent populations; A problem which need immediate attention of researchers, doctors and policy makers.

REFERENCES

Agarwal VK, Sharan R, Srivastava AK, Kumar P and Pandey CM. 1983. Blood pressure profile in children of age 3 to 15 years. *Indian Pediatr*. 20: 921-925.

Anjana, Prabhjot, Kaur N, Kumari K and Sidhu S. 2005. Variation in blood pressure among school children of Amritsar (Punjab). *Anthropologist*. 7(3): 201-204.

Badaruddoza, Amandeep, Brar SK and Kumar R. 2009. Age specific relation of blood pressure with anthropometric variables among 19-14 years Punjabi female youth of Amritsar city in Punjab, India. *Anthropologist.* 11(3): 207-211.

Chadha SL, Tandon R, Shakhawat S and Gopinath N. 1999. An epidemiological study of blood pressure in school children 5-14 years) in Delhi. *Indian Heart J.* 51: 178-182.

Costanzi CB, Halpern R, Rech RR, Bergmann ML, Alli LR and de Mattos AP. 2009. Associated factors in high blood pressure among schoolchildren in a middle size city, southern Brazil. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 85(4): 335-340.

Durnin JVGA and Wormersley J. 1974. Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfolds thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72 years. *British J of Nutr.* 32: 77-97.

Ferreira JS and Aydos RD. 2010. Prevalence of hypertension among obese children and adolescents. *Cien Saude Colet*. 15(1): 97-104.

Gupta AK and Ahmad AJ. 1990. Normal blood pressures and the evaluation of sustained hypertension. *Indian Pediatr.* 27: 33-42.

Hari P, Bagga A and Srivastava R.N. 2000. Sustained hypertension in children. *Indian Pediatr.* 37: 268-274.

Jafar TH, Islam M, Poulter N, Hatcher J, Schmid CH, Levey AS and Chaturvedi N. 2005. Children in south Asia have higher body mass adjusted blood pressure levels than white children in the United States: A comparative study. *Circulation*. 111(10): 1291-1297.

Kaur J and Mehta P. 2012. A study of prevalence of overweight and underweight among girls from different socioeconomic status in Ludhiana (Punjab). *Hum Bio Review*. 1(2): 197-206.

Kaur J, Mehta P and Singh SP. 2009a. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in girls studying in private and government schools of Ludhiana (Punjab). *Ind J Sport Sc P Ed*.18:53-64.

Kaur J, Mehta P and Singh SP. 2009b. Difference between growth performance of girls studying in different types of schools of Ludhiana (Punjab). *Indian J Phys Anthropol Hum Genetics*. 28(1-2):117-123.

Manzoli L, Ripari P, Rotolo S, Di Giacinto G, Bellomo RG, Sorgentone S, Staniscia T, Schioppa F, Romano F and Vecchiet L. 2005. Prevalence of obesity, overweight and hypertension in children and adolescents from Abruzzo, Italy. *Ann Ig.* 17(5): 419-431.

Mohan B, Kumar N, Aslam N, Rangbulla A, Kumbkarni S, Sood NK and Wander GS. 2004. Prevalence of sustained hypertension and obesity in urban and rural school going children in Ludhiana. *Indian Heart J.* 56: 310-314.

Monyeki KD, Kemper HCG and Makgae PJ. 2006. The association of fat patterning with blood pressure in rural South African children: the ellisras longitudinal growth and health study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 35(1): 114-120.

Moura AA, Silva MA, Ferraz MRMT and Rivera IR. 2004. Prevalence of high blood pressure in children and adolescents from the city of Maceio, Brazil. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 80(1): 35-40.

National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group. 2004. The Fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics.* 114: 555-576.

Pandher AK, Sangha J and Chawla P. 2004. Childhood obesity among Punjabi children in relation to physical activity and their blood profile. *J Hum Ecol.* 15(3): 179-182.

Petrovich H, Curb JD, Bloom ME. 1995. Isolated systolic hypertension and risk of stroke in Japanese – American men. *Stroke*. 26: 25-29.

Raj M, Sundaram KR, Paul M, Deepa AS and Kumar RK. 2007. Obesity in Indian children: Time trends and relationship with hypertension. *Natl Med J India*. 20(6): 297-299.

Rao S, Kanade A and Kelkar R. 2007. Blood pressure among overweight adolescents from urban school children in Pune, India. *Eur J Cli Nutr*. 61: 633-641.

Ribeiro J, Guerra S, Pinto A, Oliveiro J, Duarte J and Mota J. (2003). Overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: relationship with blood pressure and physical activity. *Ann Hum Biol.* 30(2): 203-215.

Rojas XU, Egbuchunam CU, Bae S, Menchaca J, Bayona M, Rivers PA and Singh KP.
(2006). High blood pressure in school children: prevalence and risk factors. *BMC Pediatrics*. 6: 32.

Salvadori M, Sontrop JM, Garg AX, Truong J, Suri RS, Mahmud FH, Macnab JJ and Clark WF. (2008). Elevated blood pressure in relation to overweight and obesity among children in a rural Canadian community. *Pediatrics*. 122(4): 821-827.

Sidhu S and Prabhjot. 2007. Blood pressure and body composition in healthy adults. *Human Ecology*. Spl. Issue No. 15: 75-78.

Singh R, Bhansali A, Sialy R and Aggarwal A. (2007). Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in adolescents from a north Indian population. *Diabet Med.* 24(2): 195-199.

Singh SP and Mehta P. (2009). Human body measurements: Concepts and applications. Prentice Hall of India Ltd., New Delhi.

Siri WE. 1961. Body composition from fluid spaces and density: analysis of methods. In: Techniques for measuring body composition. Edited by J. Brozek (ed.). *National Academy of Sciences*. Washington, D.C. 223-244.

Sorof JM, Lai D, Turner J, Poffenbarger T and Portman RJ. 2004. Overweight, ethnicity, and the prevalence of hypertension in school-aged children. *Pediatrics*. 113(3 Pt 1): 475-82.

Soudarssanane MB, Karthigeyan M, Stephen S and Sahai A. (2006). Key predictors of high blood pressure and hypertension among adolescents. *Indian J Community Med.* 31(3): 164-169.

Taksande A, Chaturvedi P, Vilhekar K and Jain M. 2008. Distribution of blood pressure in school going children in rural area of Wardha district, Maharashatra, India. *Ann Pediatr Card.* 1: 101-106.

Uscategui PRM, Perez GJA, Aristizabal RJC and Camacho PJA. (2003). Excess of weight and their relationship with high blood pressure in school children and adolescents of Medellin, Colombia. *Arch Latinoam Nutr.* 53(4): 376-382.

Verma M, Chhatwal J and George SM. 1994. Obesity and hypertension in children. *Indian Pediatr.* 31(9): 1065-1069.

WHO Expert Committee Report. 1978. Arterial Hypertension. Tech Rep Ser; 628: 7-56.

Yamani MH and Massie BM. 1994. Hypertension, myocardial ischemia and sudden death. *Curr Opin Cardiol.* 9: 542-550.