

## Stature Prediction from Toe and Finger Lengths among adult Kalabaris: an indigenous population of South-Southern Nigeria

G.S. Oladipo, O.M. Adheke and T.F. Jeremiah

*Citation: Oladipo, GS, Adheke, OM and Jeremiah, TF. 2022. Stature Prediction from Toe and Finger Lengths among adult Kalabaris: an indigenous population of South-Southern Nigeria. Human Biology Review, 11 (3), 153-166*

G.S. Oladipo, O.M. Adheke and T.F. Jeremiah, Biological Anthropology Unit, Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Emails: [gabriel.oladipo@uniport.edu.ng](mailto:gabriel.oladipo@uniport.edu.ng) , [mikeadheke@gmail.com](mailto:mikeadheke@gmail.com), [pspkpwpee@gmail.com](mailto:pspkpwpee@gmail.com)

**Corresponding author:** Oghenefego Michael Adheke, Department of Anatomy, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. **E-mail:** [mikeadheke@gmail.com](mailto:mikeadheke@gmail.com)

### ABSTRACT

*Physical anthropometry is relevant towards the advancement of biological anthropology. The aim of this study was to predict stature from the digit and toe lengths of adult Kalabari people of South-Southern Nigeria. This was a cross-sectional and descriptive study. 142 Kalabari adult subjects (72 males and 70 females) between the ages of 18 – 45 were consented and linear parameters such as height (stature), toe and finger (digit) length for both hands were obtained using stadiometer and metre rule. All collected data were analyzed using STATA statistical software version 12. The means of digit and toe length parameters were higher in males compared to females. A moderate positive relationship between stature and digit length in both sexes compared to toe length (at  $p < 0.05$ ) was seen. For males, simple regression equations developed for R2D, R4D, L2D and L4D were  $-0.089 + 0.212 \times R2D$ ,  $0.106 + 0.181 \times R4D$ ;  $0.05 + 0.198 \times L2D$ ; and  $0.247 + 0.167 \times L4D$ , respectively. While R2T, R4T, L2T and LAT were  $1.129 + 0.128 \times R2T$ ,  $1.429 + 0.102 \times R4T$ ;  $1.12 + 0.127 \times L2T$ ; and  $1.444 + 0.094 \times LAT$ , respectively. For females, simple regression equations developed for R2D, R4D, L2D and L4D were  $0.681 + 0.116 \times R2D$ ,  $-0.221 + 0.218 \times R4D$ ;  $0.281 + 0.168 \times L2D$ ;  $-0.376 + 0.239 \times L4D$ , respectively. While R2T, R4T, L2T and LAT were  $0.748 + 0.208 \times R2T$ ;  $1.374 + 0.109 \times R4T$ ;  $0.782 + 0.199 \times L2T$ ;  $1.46 + 0.068 \times LAT$ , respectively. Conclusively, both digit and toe lengths could predict the stature of the Kalabari adult population. Linear regression formulas can serve as forensic guides towards their identification.*

**Keywords:** Physical anthropometry, biological anthropology, stature, digit length, toe length.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Prediction of stature of an individual is an important aspect of forensic examinations and anthropological studies. Stature provides important evidence in the forensic investigation process to the establishment of personal identification. Anthropologists have always been of particular interest to assess the stature of an individual from different dimensions of the body and bones. However, different parts of the body and stature differ between human populations (Zaher et al., 2011; Raxter et al., 2018). A study by Agnihotri et al. (2007) reported that foot length is a relevant tool in the prediction of stature among Indo-Mauritians. Another similar study conducted on a particular Sudanese population as reported by Ahmed (2013), suggested that tibial length and foot length were highly significant in the estimation of stature.

Previous studies have been reported on the linear correlation between stature and body parts such as toe and finger lengths in various Caucasian and Mongoloid populations. Rastogi et al (2009) carried out a study on an Indian population to estimate stature using middle finger length. Another study by Habib and Kamal (2010) reported on the application of predictive regression models has been used by anthropologists to accurately predict stature using anthropometric measurements of body parts such as foot length and breadth (Kanchan et al., 2008; Sen and Ghosh, 2008; Ibeabuchi et al., 2018). With reference to morphological differences in sexual dimorphism, studies have been able to establish that the males have higher anthropometric dimensions compared to the females (Sen and Ghosh, 2008; Alabi et al., 2017; Ibeabuchi et al., 2018). Thus, the present study was carried out to predict stature from the digit and toe lengths of adult Kalabari people of South-Southern Nigeria. The Kalabari ethnic group belongs to a major part of the Ijaw tribe found in the Niger-Delta region of Nigeria (Wariboko, 1999).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a cross-sectional, descriptive study. A calculated sample size of 142 Kalabari adult subjects (72 males and 70 females) was obtained using the Cochran sample size formula. The inclusion criteria for selection of participants were; all subjects were in the age range of 18 – 45 years and without any history of surgical operations on their limbs. After obtaining ethical approval from the University of Port Harcourt Research Ethics Committee, both primary and secondary data were obtained from these subjects. Biological profile such as age and sex

represented the primary data while measurements such as finger (digit) and toe lengths, as well as height were the secondary data. The linear measurements obtained from subjects in this study were as follows;

- **Second toe length:** This is the measurement of the second toe from its crown to the region of the last phalangeal joint of the toe (Figure 1). This measurement was obtained using the digital vernier caliper.
- **Fourth toe length:** This is the measurement of the fourth toe from its crown to the region of the last phalangeal joint of the toe (Figure 2). This measurement was obtained using the digital vernier caliper. Both toe lengths were measured in line with Paul et al. (2018).
- **Second digit length:** This is the measurement of the second finger (thumb) from its crown to the region of the last phalangeal joint of the finger (Figure 3). This measurement was obtained using the digital vernier caliper.
- **Fourth digit length:** This is the measurement of the fourth finger from its crown to the region of the last phalangeal joint of the finger (Figure 4). This measurement was obtained using the digital vernier caliper. Both digit lengths were taken in line with Kumar et al. (2017).
- **Height (stature):** Stature is defined as the height of the individual (Figure 5). It is measured from the crown of the head to the sole of the feet with the aid of a stadiometer. Stature measurement was obtained in line with the protocol as reported by Oghenemavwe and Egwede (2022).

To test for reliability of the instruments, these measurements were obtained two times and the average score was calculated from them. All instruments were validated by calibrating them to 0.01m. The finger and toe lengths were obtained from both feet of a particular subject.



Figure 1. Second toe length



Figure 2. Fourth digit length



Figure 3. Second digit length



Figure 4. Fourth digit length



Figure 5. Stature (Height)

**Statistical Analysis:** Data obtained from this study were analyzed using STATA statistical software version 12. A Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to analyze relationships between stature and selected anthropometric measurements: toe lengths, and digit lengths for both sexes. Linear regression analyses were applied to analyze the relationship between stature and toe lengths and digit lengths. A statistical significance level of 0.05 was used. The results were expressed in means  $\pm$  standard deviations and presented in tabular form.

## RESULTS

Tables 1 and 2 showed the descriptive statistics for finger lengths of both hands in males, as well as the descriptive statistics for toe lengths of both toes in males. In table 1, the mean and standard deviation of the linear digit parameters such as R2D, R4D, L2D, and L4D were  $8.41 \pm 0.43$ cm,  $8.78 \pm 0.43$ cm,  $8.33 \pm 0.42$ cm, and  $8.68 \pm 0.39$ cm respectively. The mean value of the height of Kalabari males was  $1.70 \pm 0.15$ m. Table 2 shows the mean and standard deviation of R2T, R4T, L2T, and L4T were  $4.45 \pm 0.70$ cm,  $2.61 \pm 0.63$ cm,  $4.55 \pm 0.68$ cm, and  $2.68 \pm 0.62$ cm, respectively.

**Table 1: Descriptive statistics for finger lengths of both hands (in males)**

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
R2D	72	8.41	0.43	7.21	9.00
R4D	72	8.78	0.43	7.48	9.72
L2D	72	8.33	0.42	7.18	8.99
L4D	72	8.68	0.39	7.61	9.20
Height	72	1.70	0.15	1.44	2.2

Note: (R2D = Right second digit, R4D = Right fourth digit, L2D = Left second digit, L4D = Left fourth digit).

**Table 2: Descriptive statistics for toe lengths of both toes (in males)**

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
R2T	72	4.45	0.70	3.00	6.11
R4T	72	2.61	0.63	1.56	4.09
L2T	72	4.55	0.68	2.99	6.09
L4T	72	2.68	0.62	1.54	4.11

Note: (R2T = Right second toe, R4T = Right fourth toe, L2T = Left second toe, L4T = Left fourth toe)

Tables 3 and 4 showed descriptive statistics for finger lengths of both hands and heights in females and descriptive statistics for toe lengths of both toes in females, respectively. As shown in table 3, the mean and standard deviation of the linear digit parameters such as R2D, R4D, L2D, and L4D was  $8.01 \pm 0.45$ cm,  $8.4 \pm 0.33$ cm,  $7.94 \pm 0.38$ cm, and  $8.30 \pm 0.32$ cm respectively. The mean value of the height of Kalabari females was  $1.61 \pm 0.1$ m. While table 4 shows the mean and standard deviation of R2T, R4T, L2T, and L4T were  $4.15 \pm 0.41$ cm,  $2.20 \pm 0.48$ cm,  $4.18 \pm 0.41$ cm, and  $2.26 \pm 0.57$ cm, respectively.

**Table 3: Descriptive statistics for finger lengths of both hands and height (in females)**

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
R2D	70	8.01	0.45	7	8.94
R4D	70	8.4	0.33	7.97	9.13
L2D	70	7.94	0.38	7	8.69
L4D	70	8.30	0.32	7	9.06
Height	70	1.61	0.1	1.37	1.82

Note: (R2D = Right second digit, R4D = Right fourth digit, L2D = Left second digit, L4D = Left fourth digit)

**Table 4: Descriptive statistics for toe lengths of both toes (in females)**

Variable	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
R2T	70	4.15	0.41	2.98	5.03
R4T	70	2.20	0.48	1.32	3.63
L2T	70	4.18	0.41	3	5.06
L4T	70	2.26	0.57	1.68	4.98

Note: (R2T = Right second toe, R4T = Right fourth toe, L2T = Left second toe, L4T = Left fourth toe)

Table 5 shows the Pearson's linear relationship between height and the finger length variables for male category. Thus, the Pearson's correlation coefficient of the linear relationship between Height and digit lengths are; (R2D;  $r = 0.5949$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ), (R4D;  $r = 0.5085$  at  $p = 0.0001$ ), (L2D;  $r = 0.5389$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ) and (L4D;  $r = 0.4245$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ). Generally, there was a statistical moderate positive relationship between height and digit lengths ( $p < 0.05$ )

**Table 5: Pearson correlation analysis among finger variables for male category**

Variable	Test statistic	Height	R2D	R4D	L2D	L4D
<b>Height</b>	r	1.0000				
	p	0.0000				
<b>R2D</b>	r	0.5949*	1.0000			
	p	0.0000				
<b>R4D</b>	r	0.5085*	0.8746*	1.0000		
	p	0.0001	0.0000			
<b>L2D</b>	r	0.5389*	0.7929*	0.6966*	1.0000	
	p	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
<b>L4D</b>	r	0.4245*	0.7741*	0.7542*	0.7824*	1.0000
	p	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	

**Note: R2D = Right second digit, R4D = Right second digit, L2D = Left second digit, L4D = Left fourth digit, \* signifies statistical significance at  $p < 0.05$**

Table 6 shows the Pearson's linear relationship between height and the finger length variables for male category. Thus, the Pearson's correlation coefficient of the linear relationship between Height and digit lengths are; (R2T;  $r = 0.5811$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ), (R4T;  $r = 0.4223$  at  $p = 0.0001$ ), (L2T;  $r = 0.5632$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ) and (L4T;  $r = 0.3796$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ). Generally, there was a statistically positive relationship between Height and toe lengths ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 6: Pearson correlation analysis among toe variables for male category**

Variable	Test statistic	Height	R2T	R4T	L2T	L4T
<b>Height</b>	r	1.0000				
	p	0.0000				
<b>R2T</b>	r	0.5811*	1.0000			
	p	0.0000				
<b>R4T</b>	r	0.4223*	0.6051*	1.0000		
	p	0.0001	0.0000			
<b>L2T</b>	r	0.5632*	0.9741*	0.6078*	1.0000	
	p	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
<b>L4T</b>	r	0.3796*	0.5628*	0.9633*	0.5866*	1.0000
	p	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	

**Note: R2T = Right second toe, R4T = Right second toe, L2T = Left second toe, L4T = Left fourth toe, \* signifies statistical significance at  $p < 0.05$**

Table 7 depicts the simple regression table that gives the fitted model. The coefficients of slope and y-intercept make up the parameters of the fitted model. For R2D, the coefficient of slope is 0.212 while the y-intercept is -0.089. Thus, our regression equation for **R2D** is approximately

predicted; Height (m) =  $-0.089 + 0.212 \times \text{R2D}$ . Therefore, the regression equations for other linear parameters are as follows; **R4D**; Height (m) =  $0.106 + 0.181 \times \text{R4D}$ ; **L2D**; Height (m) =  $0.05 + 0.198 \times \text{L2D}$ ; **L4D**; Height (m) =  $0.247 + 0.167 \times \text{L4D}$

**Table 7: Simple regression table for height estimation showing the fitted model for each digit variable (in males)**

Variable	Linear regression equations	F	Prob> F	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	Root MSE
<b>R2D</b>	$-0.089 + 0.212 \times \text{R2D}$	38.34	0.000	0.354	0.345	0.124
<b>R4D</b>	$0.106 + 0.181 \times \text{R4D}$	24.41	0.000	0.259	0.248	0.133
<b>L2D</b>	$0.05 + 0.198 \times \text{L2D}$	28.65	0.000	0.290	0.280	0.130
<b>L4D</b>	$0.247 + 0.167 \times \text{L4D}$	15.38	0.000	0.180	0.169	0.140

**R2D = Right second digit, R4D = Right second digit, L2D = Left second digit, L4D = Left fourth digit, Root MSE = Root Mean Square Error, R<sup>2</sup> = coefficient of determination**

Table 8 depicts the simple regression table that gives the fitted model. The coefficients of slope and y-intercept make up the parameters of the fitted model. For **R2T**, the coefficient of the slope is 0.128 while the y-intercept is 1.129. Thus, our regression equation for **R2T** is approximately predicted; Height =  $1.129 + 0.128 \times \text{R2T}$ . Therefore, the regression equations for other linear parameters are as follows; **R4T**; Height (m) =  $1.429 + 0.102 \times \text{R4T}$ ; **L2T**; Height (m) =  $1.12 + 0.127 \times \text{L2T}$ ; **L4T**; Height (m) =  $1.444 + 0.094 \times \text{L4T}$

**Table 8: Simple regression table for height estimation showing the fitted model for each toe variable (in males)**

Variable	Linear regression equations	F	Prob> F	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	Root MSE
<b>R2T</b>	$1.129 + 0.128 \times \text{R2T}$	35.69	0.000	0.338	0.328	0.126
<b>R4T</b>	$1.429 + 0.102 \times \text{R4T}$	15.2	0.000	0.178	0.167	0.140
<b>L2T</b>	$1.12 + 0.127 \times \text{L2T}$	32.51	0.000	0.317	0.307	0.128
<b>L4T</b>	$1.444 + 0.094 \times \text{L4T}$	11.78	0.001	0.144	0.132	0.143

**R2T = Right second toe, R4T = Right second toe, L2T = Left second toe, L4T = Left fourth toe, Root MSE = Root Mean Square Error, R<sup>2</sup> = coefficient of determination**



Table 9 shows the linear relationship between height, R2D, R4D, L2D, and L4D in order to know whether any of the correlation coefficients from this relationship describes how well the best-fitting line fits. Thus, the Pearson's correlation coefficient of the linear relationship between Height and digit lengths are; (R2D;  $r = 0.5244$  at  $p=0.0000$ ), (R4D;  $r = 0.7303$  at  $p=0.0000$ ), (L2D;  $r = 0.6325$  at  $p=0.0000$ ) and (L4D;  $r = 0.7675$  at  $p=0.0000$ ). Generally, there was a statistical moderate positive relationship between height and the digit lengths ( $p<0.05$ )

**Table 9: Pearson correlation analysis among finger variables for female category**

Variable	Test statistic	Height	R2D	R4D	L2D	L4D
<b>Height</b>	r	1.0000				
	p	0.0000				
<b>R2D</b>	r	0.5244*	1.0000			
	p	0.0000				
<b>R4D</b>	r	0.7303*	0.7266*	1.0000		
	p	0.0000	0.0000			
<b>L2D</b>	r	0.6325*	0.8964*	0.7190*	1.0000	
	p	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
<b>L4D</b>	r	0.7675*	0.5454*	0.8567*	0.6642*	1.0000
	p	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	

Note: R2D = Right second digit, R4D = Right second digit, L2D = Left second digit, L4D = Left fourth digit, \* signifies statistical significance at  $p < 0.05$

Results from table 10 show the linear relationship between Height, R2T, R4T, L2T and L4T in order to know whether any of the correlation coefficient from this relationship describes how well the best-fitting line fits. Thus, the Pearson's correlation coefficient of the linear relationship between Height and toe lengths are; (R2T;  $r = 0.8621$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ), (R4T;  $r = 0.5185$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ), (L2T;  $r = 0.8252$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ) and (L4T;  $r = 0.3876$  at  $p = 0.009$ ).

**Table 10: Pearson correlation analysis among toe variables for female category**

Variable	Test statistic	Height	R2T	R4T	L2T	L4T
<b>Height</b>	r	1.0000				
	p	0.0000				
<b>R2T</b>	r	0.8621*	1.0000			
	p	0.0000				
<b>R4T</b>	r	0.5185*	0.6109*	1.0000		
	p	0.0000	0.0000			
<b>L2T</b>	r	0.8252*	0.9753*	0.6067*	1.0000	
	p	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
<b>L4T</b>	r	0.3876*	0.5035*	0.7657*	0.4752*	1.0000
	p	0.0091	0.0001	0.0000	0.0003	

Note: R2T = Right second toe, R4T = Right second toe, L2T = Left second toe, L4T = Left fourth toe, \* signifies statistical significance at  $p < 0.05$

Table 11 depicts the simple regression table that gives the fitted model. The coefficients of slope and y-intercept make up the parameters of the fitted model. For R2D, the coefficient of the slope is 0.116 while the y-intercept is 0.681. Thus, our regression equation for **R2D** is approximately predicted as; Height (m) = 0.681 + 0.116 x R2D. Therefore, the regression equations for other linear parameters are as follows; **R4D**; Height (m) = -0.221 + 0.218 x R4D; **L2D**; Height (m) = 0.281 + 0.168 x L2D; **L4D**; Height (m) = -0.376 + 0.239 x L4D

**Table 11: Simple regression table for height estimation showing the fitted model for each digit variable (in females)**

Variable	Linear regression equations	F	Prob> F	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	Root MSE
<b>R2D</b>	0.681 + 0.116 x R2D	25.8	0.000	0.275	0.264	0.086
<b>R4D</b>	-0.221 + 0.218 x R4D	77.7	0.000	0.533	0.527	0.069
<b>L2D</b>	0.281 + 0.168 x L2D	45.4	0.000	0.400	0.391	0.078
<b>L4D</b>	-0.376 + 0.239 x L4D	97.5	0.000	0.589	0.583	0.064

**R2D = Right second digit, R4D = Right second digit, L2D = Left second digit, L4D = Left fourth digit, Root MSE = Root Mean Square Error, R<sup>2</sup>= coefficient of determination**

Table 12 depicts the simple regression table that gives the fitted model. The coefficients of slope and y-intercept make up the parameters of the fitted model. For R2T, the coefficient of slope is 0.208 while the y-intercept is 0.748. Thus, our regression equation for **R2T** is approximately predicted; Height = 0.748 + 0.208 x R2T. Therefore, the regression equations for other linear parameters are as follows; **R4T**; Height (m) = 1.374 + 0.109 x R4T; **L2T**; Height (m) = 0.782 + 0.199 x L2T; **L4T**; Height (m) = 1.46 + 0.068 x L4T

**Table 12: Simple regression table showing the fitted model for each toe variable (in females)**

Variable	Linear regression equations	F	Prob> F	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	Root MSE
<b>R2T</b>	0.748 + 0.208 x R2T	196.79	0.000	0.743	0.739	0.051
<b>R4T</b>	1.374 + 0.109 x R4T	25.01	0.000	0.269	0.258	0.086
<b>L2T</b>	0.782 + 0.199 x L2T	145.12	0.000	0.681	0.676	0.057

<b>L4T</b>	$1.46 + 0.068 \times L4T$	12.02	0.001	0.15	0.138	0.093
------------	---------------------------	-------	-------	------	-------	-------

**R2T = Right second toe, R4T = Right second toe, L2T = Left second toe, L4T = Left fourth toe, Root MSE = Root Mean Square Error, R<sup>2</sup>= coefficient of determination**

## DISCUSSIONS

The present study was carried out to predict stature from the digit and toe lengths of adult Kalabari people of South-Southern Nigeria. In both male and female categories, there was a statistically moderate positive relationship between height (stature) and the digit lengths ( $p < 0.05$ ). For the males, the various correlation coefficients are; R2D ( $r = 0.5949$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ), R4D ( $r = 0.5085$  at  $p = 0.0001$ ), L2D ( $r = 0.5389$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ) and L4D ( $r = 0.4245$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ). For the females, the various correlation coefficients were; R2D ( $r = 0.5244$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ), R4D ( $r = 0.7303$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ), L2D ( $r = 0.6325$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ) and L4D ( $r = 0.7675$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ). This is in concordance with related studies done across several populations (Rastogi et al., 2009; Ekezie et al., 2015; Oladipo et al., 2016). However, just like these studies, there was a stronger positive correlation in the males compared to the females.

A linear regression model was developed from this study to attest to the reliability of the data obtained from the sample population. In this study, both the simple and multiple regression equations were derived from the study population. It was observed that in both the linear and multiple regression equations that were derived from this study, the calculated estimated mean height (stature) for both sexes was almost the same as the actual stature mean. It was observed that the accuracy of predicted stature estimation from digit lengths in both regression models was significantly accurate for both males and females. This is in agreement with Ekezie et al (2015) and Oladipo et al (2016)

Several studies on the estimation of stature using foot measurements have shown the human feet to be reliable in the forensic identification of human remains (Ozdenet al., 2005; Krishan and Sharma, 2007; Kanchan et al., 2008; Zeybeket al., 2008; Krishanet al., 2011; Ekezie et al., 2014; Ibeabuchi et al., 2018). The application of predictive regression models has been used by anthropologists to accurately predict stature using anthropometric measurements of body parts such as foot length and breadth (Kanchan et al., 2008; Ibeabuchi et al., 2018; Paul et al., 2018). However, this study was objective towards estimating stature using toe lengths of adult Nigerian Kalabari population.

After comparing the level of correlations between stature and toe lengths for both sexes in this study, it was observed that although there were significant positive relationships between them for both sexes, the females had a higher significant positive correlation between height and toe lengths, especially the second toe lengths for both right and left foot (R2T;  $r = 0.8621$  at  $p = 0.0000$  and L2T;  $r = 0.8252$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ). The males had a correlation coefficients between height and second toe lengths (R2T;  $r = 0.5811$  at  $p = 0.0000$  and L2T;  $r = 0.5632$  at  $p = 0.0000$ ). This could be due to the fact that males tend to grow variably in their tarsal bone lengths compared to females.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

It can be conclusively stated that both digit and toe lengths are statistically relevant in the prediction of the stature of the Kalabari adult population. The linear regression formula can serve as a forensic guide towards the physical identification of possible Kalabari subjects in cases of crime, disaster, and other forensics-related issues.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors wish to thank the Research Ethics Committee of University of Port Harcourt for approving the research. Special thanks to the respondents of the Kalabari indigenous people of Rivers State, Nigeria.

### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

The first author designed the study, wrote its protocol and the first manuscript, while the second and third authors managed the literature research, assisted in collection of data and statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript for submission.

### **REFERENCES**

- Agnihotri AK, Purwar B, Googoolye K, Agnihotri S, Jeebun N. 2007. Estimation of stature by foot length. *J Forensic Legal Med.* 14(5):279–283
- Ahmed AA. 2013. Estimation of stature using lower limb measurements in Sudanese Arabs. *J Forensic Legal Med.* 20(5):483–488
- Alabi, A.S., Oladipo, G.S., Didia, B.C., Aigbogun,(Jr) E.O. 2017. Regression equations for stature prediction in Nigerian Hausas, Igbos and Yorubas from toe length and toe-length ratios. *Anthropol.* 5 (1): 176-181

Ekezie J, Anibeze C, Ikechukwu P, Akpuaka F, Anyanwu G, Onwukamuche C, Uloneme G. 2014. Stature estimation using right digits and palm length in Igbo population, Nigeria. *Ann Bioanthropol.* 2:23–28.

Ekezie J, Cip A, Anyanwu G, Uloneme GC. 2015. Prediction of stature from somatometry of the left hand in Igbos, Nigeria. *Journal of Forensic Research.* 10.4172/2157-7145.1000S3-005.

Habib SR, Kamal NN. 2010. Stature estimation from hand and phalanges lengths of Egyptians. *J Forensic Legal Med.* 17(3):156–60.

Ibeabuchi NM, Okubike EA, Olabiyi OA, Nandi ME. 2018. Predictive equations and multiplication factors for stature estimation using foot dimensions of an adult Nigerian population. *Egypt J Forensic Sci.* 8:63.

Kanchan T, Menezes RG, Moudgil R, Kaur R, Kotian MS, Garg RK. 2008. Stature estimation from foot dimensions. *Forensic Sci Int.* 179(2-3):241.e1-5.

Krishan K, Kanchan T, Passi N. 2011. Estimation of stature from the foot and its segments in a sub-adult female population of North India. *J Foot Ankle Res.* 4:24.

Krishan K, Sharma A. 2007. Estimation of stature from dimensions of hands and feet in a North Indian population. *J Forensic Leg Med.* 14(6):327-32.

Kumar S, Voracek M, Singh M. 2017. Sexual Dimorphism in Digit Ratios Derived from Dorsal Digit Length among Adults and Children. *Front. Endocrinol.* 8:41.

Oghenemavwe, L.E., Egwede, O.B. 2022. Estimation of living stature from foot dimensions in Uturu indigenes of Abia state, Nigeria. *European Journal of Medical and Health Sciences.* 4(1): 67-71.

Oladipo S, Chinedu U, Ipigansi U, Onyeleonu I, Erhivwor O, Jimmy D. 2016. Estimation of stature from right second digit, right fourth digit, and right Foot length in Annangs of Akwalbom state of Nigeria. *Ann Bioanthropol.* 4(1):26.

Ozden H, Balci Y, Demirustu C, Turgut A, Ertugrul M.2005. Stature and sex estimate using foot and shoe dimensions. *Forensic Sci Int.* 147:181-184

Paul CW, Osuchukwu IW, Aigbogun (Jr) EO, Ekezie, J. 2018. Stature Estimation From Foot Dimensions of Igbo Indigenes of Imo State Extraction In Nigeria. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 9(1):23323-23327.

Rastogi P, Kanchan T, Menezes RG, et al. 2009. Middle finger length—a predictor of stature in the Indian population. *Med Sci Law.* 49(2):123–6.

Raxter MH, Ruff C, Azab A, Erfan, M. 2018. Stature estimation in ancient Egyptians: A new technique based on anatomical reconstruction of stature. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 136(2):147-55.

Sen J, Ghosh S.2008. Estimation of stature from foot length and foot breadth among the Rajbanshi: an indigenous population of North Bengal. *Forensic Sci Int.* 181(1-3):55.e1-6.

Wariboko, N. 1999. Counterfoil choices in the Kalabari life cycle. *African Studies Quarterly.* 3(1): 4.

Zaher JF, El-Ameen NFM, Seedhom AE.2011. Stature estimation using anthropometric measurements from computed tomography of metacarpal bones among Egyptian population. *Egypt J Forensic Sci.*1(2):103-108.

Zeybek G, Ergur I, Demiroglu Z.2008. Stature and gender estimation using foot measurements. *Forensic Sci Int.* 181(1):54e1–5.