



Human Biology Review (ISSN 22774424) www.HumanBiologyJournal.com

International Peer Reviewed Journal of Biological Anthropology

Volume 13, Number 2, April-June 2024 Issue

Original scientific paper Nutritional status of rural adolescent girls of Cooch Behar District, India S. Bhowmik and A. Khatun

Human Biology Review, Volume 13 (2), pp. 97-108. Revised and accepted on March 17, 2024

Citation: Bhowmik S and Khatun A. 2024. Nutritional status of rural adolescent girls of Cooch Behar District, India. Human Biology Review, 13 (2), 97-108.

Authors and affiliations

Sutapa Bhowmik: Research Scholar, Department of Anthropology, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, Distt- Darjeeling, PIN: 734013, Email: sutapabhowmikcob@gmail.com

Dr. Argina Khatun: Assistant Professor, Department of Anthropology, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohunpur, Dist- Darjeeling, PIN: 734013. Email: <u>argina.khatun@nbu.ac.in</u>

Corresponding author: Dr. Argina Khatun

Nutritional status of rural adolescent girls of Cooch Behar District, India

S. Bhowmik and A. Khatun

Citation: Bhowmik S and Khatun A. 2024. Nutritional status of rural adolescent girls of Cooch Behar District, India. Human Biology Review, 13 (2), 97-108.

ABSTRACT

Background: Adolescent period (10-19 years) can be described as growing up years, as this phase is the middle phase between childhood and adulthood and this phase is the phase of many correlated biological, psychological and social changes. The problem of both undernutrition and over nutrition spreads in a large scale among adolescents and may generate continual consequences in their adulthood. That's why assessing nutritional status among adolescents is very much needed.

Method: A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted among (n=385) Bengalee Hindu (10-18) years old adolescent girls of rural Guriahati I Panchayat areas of Cooch Behar district, West Bengal, India. To assess nutritional status, five Anthropometric measurements of Height, weight, Mid Upper Arm Circumference, Waist Circumference, and Hip Circumference were recorded using standard procedures, after informing school authorities, students and parents about the details and purpose of the study. Height for age and BMI for age were calculated using age-specific WHO Charts for height and BMI. One-way ANOVA was used to assess age-specific mean differences of anthropometric variables. Statistical analysis was done using Chi-square test to find out the association between anthropometric data and age groups. The p-value <0.05 was considered as significant.

Result: Overall prevalence of stunting and thinness among the studied population were 32.72% and 11.16% respectively. Some socio demographic variables were found significantly associated with stunting and thinness (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Moderate prevalence of stunting and thinness were found in present study. Nutritional programs among rural areas can change this undernutrition scenario.

Keywords: Adolescence, undernutrition, nutritional, BMI, WHO.

INTRODUCTION

Adolescent period (10-19 years) can be described as growing up years (Nair et al. 2017), as this phase is the middle phase between childhood and adulthood and this phase is the phase of many correlated biological, psychological and social changes (Mala et al. 2007; Nair et al 2017). So, adolescent phase is the delicate phase of human life cycle with prompt growth and so many psychological and physiological changes (NNMB 2000; Nair et al 2017). According to the studies (Kumar et al.2014; Nair et al.2017), adolescent period can be divided in to three groups, i.e. the early adolescent groups of 10 to 13 years, the middle adolescent groups of 14 to 16 years and the late adolescent groups of 17 to 19 years.

During few decades, there were a concerning situation of co-existence of prevalence of both undernutrition (stunting, thinness, under-weight) and over nutrition (overweight and obesity) can be observed in Low and Middle Income Countries, which were called as Double Burden of Malnutrition (Doak et al. 2005; Shrimpton and Rokx 2012; Debnath et al. 2019).

Stunting or Low height-for-age is an indicator of chronic undernutrition and one of the important indicators of nutritional assessment among children and adolescents. In rural and sub urban areas of India, Stunting has become matter of concern (Deshmukh et al. 2006; Medhi et al. 2006; 2007; Das et al. 2007; Mondal and Sen 2010a, b; Banerjee et al. 2011; Sil et al. 2011; Rengma et al. 2016; Debnath et al. 2019). Thinness or Low BMI-for-age also is an indicator of chronic undernutrition. Different studies (Deshmukh et al. 2006; Medhi et al. 2007; Das and Biswas 2005, Prashant and Shaw 2009) significantly found high prevalence of thinness among Indian adolescents. Prevalence of thinness among adolescents were reported 48.75% in India (Pal et al. 2017), 59% in Bangladesh (Sahabudin et al. 2000), 18.9% in Nigeria (Omigbodun et al. 2010), 10.3% in Malayasia (Baharudin 2013), 58.3% Ethiopia (Mulugeta et al. 2009) respectively. Prevalence of stunting among adolescents were reported 53.57% in India (Pal et al. 2017), 11% in China (Hong et al.1999), 51.65 in Nepal (Sherpa et al. 2019), 26.5% in Ethiopia (Mulugeta et al. 2019). Prevalence of overweight were reported 7.60% in India (Tomar et al. 2018), 5.7% in Nepal (Sherpa et al. 2019, 4.3% in Bangladesh (Ghosh et al. 2021), 7.1% in Bhutan (Norbu et al. 2016).

The problem of both undernutrition and over nutrition spreads in a large scale among adolescents and may generate continual consequences in their adulthood (Faizi et al. 2016). That's why assessing nutritional status among adolescents is very much needed. Though there were few studies were conducted among adolescents, still no nutritional status assessment was conducted among present study area. Present study is an attempt to assess nutritional status among rural Bengalee Hindu adolescent girls and find out possible association of different socio demographic factors with malnutrition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted from April to September 2023 among 385 Bengalee Hindu (10 -18) years old adolescent girls of rural Guriahati I Panchayat areas of Cooch Behar district, West Bengal, India. Cooch Behar (Latitude 26°22'N, Longitude

89°29'E) is a small city with a 74.06% majority of Bengalee Hindus (according to the census 2011).

The studied population was selected using a simple random sampling technique. Data were collected after informing the purpose of the present study to the school authorities, students and their parents of Guriahati I Gram Panchayat areas, using pre-tested and pre-designed schedules. Age was recorded based on their birth certificates. Five Anthropometric measurements of Height (cm), weight (kg), Mid Upper Arm Circumference (cm), Waist Circumference (cm), and Hip Circumference (cm) were recorded using standard procedures.

Body Mass Index were calculated using following formula: BMI= Weight (kg)/ Height $^{2}(m^{2})$. Waist Hip Ratio were calculated using following formula = Waist Circumference in cm / Hip Circumference in cm. Height for age and BMI for age were calculated using on age specific WHO Charts for height and BMI (Abraham and Rehna 2022) in WHO AnthroPlus sofware. The BMI for age z score values evaluated as obese (above 3SD), overweight (between 2SD to 3 SD), Normal (between -1SD to 2SD), moderate thinness (between -2SD to -3SD) and severe thinness (values below -3SD) (De Onis 2015, WHO growth reference 2007 Anthroplus, Abraham and Rehna 2022). Height-for -age zscore evaluated as, tall (above 2SD), Normal (between -1SD to 2SD), moderate stunting (between -2SD to -3SD) and severe stunting (values below -3SD) (WHO Growth reference 2007 Anthroplus, WHO growth curve 2006, WHO 1995, Abraham and Rehna 2022).

The studied population was divided into three groups, i.e., the early adolescent group (10-13 years), the mid Adolescent group (14-16 years) and the late adolescent group (17-18 years). This classification was based on biological basis, developmental and psychological basis (Kumar et al. 2014; Nair et al. 2017).

All statistical analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, version 20.0) and WHO Anthro Plus software. Data were first entered into MS Excel and have been checked, and then data were imported into SPSS version 20. Descriptive statistics were done regarding mean and standard deviation (\pm SD). One-way ANOVA was used to assess age-specific mean differences of anthropometric variables. Statistical analysis was done using Chi-square test to find out the association between anthropometric data and age groups. The p-value <0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the Age-specific distribution of means and standard deviations of anthropometric variables. Among early adolescent rural girls, the mean values of height, weight, BMI, MUAC, Waist Circumference, Hip Circumference and WHR were 141.23 cm, 37.80kg, 18.77kg/m2, 19.31cm, 60.43cm, 75.31cm, and 0.08 respectively. Among mid-adolescent rural girls, the mean values of height, weight, BMI, MUAC, Waist Circumference and WHR were 149.06cm, 47.90kg, 21.45kg/m2, 21.64cm, 65.57cm, 82.77cm and 0.79 respectively.

Among late adolescent rural girls, the mean values of height, weight, BMI, MUAC, Waist Circumference, Hip Circumference and WHR were 151.53cm, 55.62kg, 24.09kg/m2, 23.46cm, 72.93cm, 89.49cm and 0.81 respectively. There was a gradual increase in the mean values of height, weight, BMI, MUAC, Waist Circumference and Hip Circumference with age (except WHR) can be observed. One-way ANOVA was done to find out age-specific mean differences of anthropometric variables and the results showed statistically significant (p<0.05) differences between age-specific anthropometric mean values among the studied population.

Adolescence	Sample	Age	Ht (cm)	Wt(kg)	BMI(kg	MUAC(c	WC(c	HC(cm	WHR
groups	size	(years)			/m ²)	m)	m))	
Early (10-13	183	11.37	141.23	37.80	18.77	19.31	60.43	75.31	0.80
yr)		±1.11	±1.13	± 9.63	±3.70	±2.36	±7.63	±8.33	±0.04
Mid (14-16	131	15.13	149.06	47.90	21.45	21.64	65.57	82.77	0.79
yr)		± 0.80	±6.39	±11.31	±4.32	± 2.44	± 8.40	±10.25	±0.03
		8							
Late (17-18	71	17.56	151.53	55.62	24.09	23.46	72.93	89.49	0.81
yr)		±0.49	±7.26	±12.99	±4.54	± 2.48	±8.36	±9.39	±0.03
		9							
Total	385	13.79	145.80	44.53±	20.66	20.86	64.49	80.46	0.80
		±2.62	±8.32	12.89	±4.54	±2.89	±9.26	±10.68	±0.04
	F value		37.46	24.20	14.41	25.81	16.86	21.63	7.97
	P value		P<0.05	P<0.05	P<0.05	P<0.05	P<0.0	P<0.0	P<0.05
							5	5	

TABLE 1: Descriptive statistics (Mean±SD) of the anthropometric variables

Table 2 shows Height for age, where the prevalence of overall stunting among rural early adolescent, mid adolescent and late adolescent Bengalee Hindu girls were 23.49%, 36.64% and 49.29% respectively. Prevalence of severe stunting among rural early adolescents, mid adolescents and late adolescents was 6%, 12.2% and 14.1%, respectively. Prevalence of Moderate stunting among rural early adolescent, mid adolescent Bengalee Hindu girls were 17.5%, 24.4% and 35.2% respectively. Overall 32.72% of rural Bengalee Hindu adolescent girls were found stunted.

Table 3 shows BMI for age, where the prevalence of overall thinness among rural early, mid and late adolescent Bengalee Hindu girls was 11.47%, 15.26% and 2.8% respectively. Prevalence of severe thinness among rural early adolescent, mid adolescent Bengalee Hindu girls was 4.9% and 6.1%. No late adolescent girls in the present study were found severely thin. Prevalence of moderate thinness among rural early adolescent, mid adolescent and late adolescent Bengalee Hindu girls were 6.6%, 9.2% and 2.8%, respectively. The overall prevalence of thinness was 11.16% among rural Bengalee Hindu adolescent girls. Prevalence of overweight among rural early adolescent and late adolescent Bengalee Hindu girls were 9.3%, 8.4% and 8.5% respectively. The overall prevalence of overweight among the studied population was 8.8% and 1.6%, respectively.

Table 2: Height/Age in Early, Mid and Late adolescent groups

Adolescence groups	Normal	Severe stunting	Moderate stunting	Overall stunting	Total	Chi- square	p-value
Early (10-13 yr)	140(76.5)	11(6.0)	32(17.5)	43(23.49)	183(100)		
Mid (14-16 yr)	83(63.4)	16(12.2)	32(24.4)	48(36.64)	131(100)	49.96	D -0.05
Late (17-18 yr)	36(50.7)	10(14.1)	25(35.2)	35(49.29)	71(100)	48.86	P<0.05
total	259(67.3)	37(9.6)	89(23.1)	126(32.72)	385(100)		

*Values are parenthesis indicates percentage.

TABLE 3: BMI/AGE in Early, Mid and Late adolescent groups

Adolescence	BMI/age								
groups	Severe	Moderate	Normal	Overall	Overwe	Obese	Total	Ch	Р
	thinness	thinness		thinness	ight			i-	value
								squ	
								are	
Early (10-13	9	12	145	21	17	-	183		
yr)	(4.9)	(6.6)	(79.2)	(11.47)	(9.3)		(100)		
Mid (14-16 yr)	8	12	100	20	11	-	131		
	(6.1)	(9.2)	(76.3)	(15.26)	(8.4)		(100)		
Late (17-18 yr)	-	2	57	2	6	6	71	80.	P<0.
		(2.8)	(80.3)	(2.8)	(8.5)	(8.5)	(100)	82	05
total	15	26	302	43	24	6	385		
	(7.5)	(6.8)	(78.4)	(11.16)	(8.8)	(1.6)	(100)		

*Values are parenthesis indicates percentage.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, 183 girls belonged to the early adolescent group, 131 belonged to the mid-adolescent group and 71 belonged to the late adolescent group (table 1)

In the studied population, the prevalence of overall stunting among early adolescent girls, midadolescent girls and late adolescent girls was 23.49%, 36.64% and 49.29% respectively. Overall 32.72% of Bengalee Hindu adolescent girls were found to be stunted and this result was similar to some other studies (Das and Biswas 2005; Maiti et al.2011; Pramanik et al. 2015).

In the studied population, the prevalence of stunting gradually increased with age group and there was a statistically significant difference between the three groups in the present study (p-value <0.05). Similar studies conducted by Bisai et al. 2011; Abraham and Rehna 2022 also concluded that the prevalence of stunting was higher among late adolescents as compared to early adolescents. In the present study, the prevalence of stunting was significantly associated with age groups, monthly income, drinking water and birth order (p<0.05) (table 4).

In the studied population, the prevalence of overall thinness among early adolescent girls, midadolescent girls and late adolescent girls was 11.47%, 15.26% and 2.8% respectively. The overall prevalence of thinness among the Bengalee Hindu adolescent girls was 11.16%. Among the studied population, the prevalence of thinness was very low among late adolescent groups and there was a statistically significant difference between the three age groups (p-value < 0.05).

Different studies (Mondal 2014; Maiti et al. 2011; Kebede et al. 2021; Abraham and Rehna 2022) found that the prevalence of thinness was less among late adolescents. In the present study, the prevalence of thinness was significantly associated with age at menarche, Monthly income, drinking water and father's occupation (p<0.05). (Table 5)

Conclusion: A moderate prevalence of stunting and thinness was found in the present study. Only 1.6% of girls were found to be obese. Prevalence of stunting increased with age groups. The late adolescent age group showed a higher prevalence of stunting and a lower prevalence of thinness. Prevalence of stunting and thinness were significantly associated with some factors, which can be preventable. Nutritional programs in rural areas can change this undernutrition scenario.

Variables	Stunting			Chi-square, degree of freedom and p value
			Total	1
	PRESENT	ABSENT		
Adolescence groups				
Early (10-13 yr)	43(23.49)	140(76.50)	183(47.53)	
				χ2=18.32,df=2,p<0.05
Mid (14-16 yr)	48(36.64)	83(65.85)	131(34.02)	
T				
Late (17-18 yr)	36(50.70)	35(49.29)	71(18.44)	
Types of family				
Nuclear	73(31.20)	161(68.80)	234(60.77)	χ2=.865,df=1,p=.325
Joint/extended/broken	54(35.76)	97(64.23)	151(39.22)	
Age at menarche				
Yet not started	31(39.24)	48(61.53)	79(20.52)	χ2=1.85,df=2,p=.395
10-11 years	54(32.14)	114(67.85)	168(43.63)	
12-14 years	42(30.43)	96(69.56)	138(35.84)	
Earning head				
1	92(33.21)	185(66.78)	277(71.94)	χ2=.023,df=1,p=.880
2 or more	35(32.40)	73(67.59)	108(28.05)	-
Monthly income				
Below Rs. 7000	87(44.61)	108(55.38)	195(50.64)	χ2=26.97,df=2,p<0.05
More than Rs. 7000	40(23.15)	150(78.74)	190(49.35)	
Drinking water				

Table 4: Association between some socio demographic variables and stunting amongBengalee Hindu adolescent girls

Tubewell	45(46.87)	51(53.12)	96(24.96)	χ2 =15.59,df=2,p<0.05
Tapwater	64(32.32)	134(67.67)	198(51.42)	
Others	18(19.78)	73(80.21)	91(23.63)	
Father's occupation				
Deseased father	8(44.44)	10(55.55)	18(4.6)	
Labour/farmer	62(37.34)	104(62.65)	166(43.11)	χ2=8.41,df=3,p=.038
Service	13(19.11)	55(80.88)	68(17.66)	
Business	44(33.08)	89(66.91)	133(34.56)	
Birth order				
1	46(21.69)	166(78.80)	212(4.6)	
2	73(51.77)	68(48.22)	141(36.62)	χ2 =36.94,df=3,p<0.05
3	6(21.42)	22(78.57)	28(7.27)	
4	2(50.00)	2(50.00)	4(1.03)	

*Values are parenthesis indicates percentage

Table 5: Association between s	some socio demographic	variables and	thinness among
Bengalee Hindu adolescent girls	5		

Variables	Thi	nness	Total	Chi-square, degree of freedom and p value
	PRESENT	ABSENT	Totul	
Adolescence groups				
Early (10-13 yr)	22(12.02)	161(87.97)	183(47.53)	
Mid (14-16 yr)	20(15.26)	111(84.73)	131(34.02)	$\chi 2=7.17, df=2, p=.028$
Late (17-18 yr)	2(2.81)	69(97.18)	71(18.44)	
Types of family				
Nuclear family	21(8.97)	213(91.02)	234(60.77)	χ2=3.55,df=1,p=.060
Joint/extended/broken	23(15.23)	128(84.76)	151(39.22)	
Age at menarche				
Yet not started	19(24.05)	60(75.94)	79(20.51)	χ2=16.03,df=2,p<0.05
10-11 years	12(7.14)	156(92.85)	168(43.63)	
12-14 years	13(9.42)	125(90.57)	138(35.84)	
Earning head				
1	31(11.19)	246(88.80)	277(71.94)	χ2=.055,df=1,p=.815
2 or more	13(12.03)	95(87.96)	108(28.05)	
Monthly income				
Below Rs. 7000	32(16.32)	164(83.67)	196(50.64)	χ2=7.79,df=1,p<0.05
More than Rs. 7000	12(6.34)	177(93.65)	189(49.35)	-
Drinking water				
Tube well	21(21.87)	75(78.12)	96(24.93)	χ2=15.43,df=2,p<0.05
Tap water	19(9.59)	179(90.40)	198(51.42)	<i>~ ′</i> ′1
Others	4(4.39)	87(95.60)	91(21.63)	

	I	r	[
Father's occupation				
Deseased father	0	18(100.00)	18(4.67)	
Labour/farmer	35(21.08)	131(78.91)	166(41.11)	χ2=27.44,df=3,p<0.05
Service	2(2.94)	66(97.06)	68(17.66)	
Business	7(5.26)	126(94.73)	133(34.54)	
Birth order				
1	18(8.50)	194(91.50)	212(55.06)	
2	25(17.73)	116(82.28)	141(36.72)	χ2=9.56,df=3,p=.023
3	1(3.57)	27(96.42)	28(7.29)	_
4	-	4(100.00)	4(1.03)	

*Values are parenthesis indicates percentage

Acknowledgements: The help and cooperation of the school authorities, participants during data collection are acknowledged and also thankful to University of North Bengal. The first author is thankful to her supervisor Dr. Argina Khatun for help and support. Conflict of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

REFERENCES:

Abraham RJ, Rehna R. 2022.Prevalence of stunting and thinness among school going early and mid-adolescents of Idukki Diatrict in rural Kerala:A cross sectional study. *J of Clinical and Diagnostic research*, 16(3):11-15.

Baharudin A. 2013. Malnutrition among the Malaysian adolescents: *Finding from National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2011. IJPH. Vol:3(2):pp-282-289.*

Banerjee S, Dias A, Shinkre R, Patel V. 2011. Undernutrition among adolescents: A survey in five secondary schools in rural Goa. *Natl Med J India*.24, 1:8-11.

Bisai S, Bose K, Ghosh D, De K. 2011 .Growth pattern and prevalence of underweight and stunting among rural adolescents. *Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society.vol 31(1):17-24*.

Das DK, Biswas R. 2005. Nutritional status of adolescent girls in a rural area of North 24 Parganas district, West Bengal. *Ind J Pub Health. vol 49(1):18-20*.

Das P, Ray SK, Joardar GK, Dasgupta S. 2007. Nutritional profiles of adolescents in a rural community of Hooghly district in West Bengal. *Indian J Public Health*. *51:120-121*.

De Onis M. 2015. World Health Organization Reference curves. *The ECOG's ebook on child and adolescent obesity*.

Debnath S, Mondal N, Sen J. 2019. Double burden of malnutrition among adolescents of India: A review. *Human Biology Review*, 155-178.

Deshmukh PR, Gupta SS, Bharambe MS, Dongre AR, Maliye C, Kaur S, Garg BS. 2006. 'Nutritional status of adolescents in rural Wardha'. *Indian J Pediatr.* 73:139-141. Doak CM, Adair LS, Bentley M, Monteiro C, Popkin BM.2005. The dual burden household and the nutrition transition paradox. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 29(1):129-136.

Faizi N, Khan Z, Khan IM, Amir A, Azmi SA, Khalique N. 2017. A study on nutritional status of school going adolescents in Aligarh, India.*Tropical Doctor.vol47(3):212-216*.

Ghosh GK, Ishlam Md N, Juthi nK, Yesmin A, Rahman T.2021. Nutritional status, dietary diversity and food security of adolescent girls in rural Bangladesh. *Ethiopian J of Health Development*. *Vol.* 35(3).

Hong Y, Michael JD, Kate D, Rulan H. 1999. The national survey on the constitution and health of Chinese students in 1995: Nutritional status of the school students aged 10-17 years in Shaanxi, China. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition. vol* 8(2):121-128.

Kebede D, Prasad RP, Asres DT, Aragaw H, Worku E. 2021.Prevalence and associated factors of stunting and thinness among adolescent students in FinoteSelam Town, Northwest Ethiopia. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition .vol 40*(1):01-02.

Kumar AS, Amrita NS, Sreedhar M. 2014. Nutritional status of adolescent girls of urban slums of Hyderabad. *Indian J Basic Applied Med Res.* 4(1):457-61.

Kumar AT.2012. Nutritional status of adolescent girls in rural Tamil Nadu. *Nat J Res Com Med.1(1):48-51*.

Maiti S, De D, Chatterjee K, Jana K, Ghosh D, Paul S. 2011. Prevalence of stunting and thinness among early adolescent school girls of Paschim Medinipur district, West Bengal. *Int J Biol Med* Res .2(3):781-83.

Mala V, Kumar D, Dwivedi S, Dabral SB. 2007. Psychosocial behavior pattern of unmarried adolescent girls in urban area of Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh. *Indian J Community Med.1(1):79-81*.

Medhi GK, Barua A, Mahanta J. 2006. Growth and nutritional status of school age children (6-14 Years) of Tea garden worker of Assam. *J Hum Ecol.* 19:83-85.

Medhi GK, Hazarika NC, Mahanta J. 2007. Nutritional status of adolescents among tea garden workers. *Indian J Pediatr.* 74:343-347.

Mondal N, Sen J. 2010a. Prevalence of undernutrition among children (5-12 years) belonging to three communities residing in a similar habitat in North Bengal, India. *Ann Hum Biol. 37:198-216*.

Mondal N, Sen J. 2010b. Assessment of nutritional status among rural adolescents of Darjeeling district, West Bengal, India using anthropometry. *Italian J Pub Health*.7:5461

Mondal N. 2014. Thinness as major underlying problem among adolescents of Northeast India. *Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society*. 34(1):39-47.

Mulugeta A, Hagos F, Stoecker B, Kruseman G, Linderhof V, Abraha Z, Yohannes M, Samuel G G. 2009. Nutritional Status of Adolescent Girls from Rural Communities of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia *.Ethiopian J of Health development. Vol. 23(1).*

Nair A, Doibale M, Kuril B, Domple V. 2017. Study of nutritional status of adolescent girls in a rural area of a district of Maharashtra. *Int. J. Community Med. Public Health. 4:.* 4617-4622, *DOI:* 10.18203/23946040.ijcmph20175340.

National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau:diet and nutritional status of Tribal population.2000.NNMB Tech report no.19.National Institute of Nutrition,Hydrabad.

Norbu W, Wangdi U, Dorji D et al.2016. Obesity prevalence and contributing factors among adolescents in secondary schools in Pemagashel district, Bhutan.*International J of adolescent Medicine and health.vol 31(1)*.

Omigbodnn OO, Adediran IK, Akinye OJ, Omigbodnn OA, Adedoknn OB, Esan O. 2010. Gender and rural-urban differences in the nutritional status of school adolescents in South Weastern Nigeria. *Journal of Biological Science*. *Vol* 42(5).

Pal A, Pari A K, Sinha A, Dhara P. 2017. Prevalence of under-nutrition and associated factors : a cross sectional study among rural adolescents in West Bengal, India. *International Journal of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*.*Vol4(1): 9-18*.

Prashant K, Shaw C. 2009. Nutritional status of adolescent girls from an urban slum area in South India. *Indian J Pediatr .vol* (76):501-04. *https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-009-0077-2*.

Patanwar P, Sharma KKN. 2013. Nutritional status of Kurmi adolescent girls of Raipur city Chhattisgarh India. *Int J Scientific Res Publ.3(11):1-6*

Pramanik P, Banerjee SB, Dutta S. 2015. Health status of pre-menarcheal and postmenarcheal adolescent girls in West Bengal, India. *Int. J. Res. Med. Sci*. 3(8): 1979–1984. *DOI:* 10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20150312.

Rengma MS, Bose K, Mondal N. 2016. Socio-economic and demographic correlates of stunting among adolescents of Assam, North-east India. *Anthropol Rev.* 79(4):409-425.

Saibaba A, Ram MM, Rao GVR, Devi U, Syamala TS. 2002. Nutritional status of adolescent girls of urban slums and the impact of IEC on their nutritional knowledge and practices. *Indian J Community Med*.27(4):151-6.

Sahabuddin AKM, Talukder Khursid, Talukder MQK, Hassan MQ, Seal Andrew, Rahnaah Q, Mannan A, Tomkins A, Costello A. 2000. Adolescent nutrition in a rural community in Bangladesh. *The Indian Journal of Pediatrics. Page:63-98.*

Sil SK, Sarkar SR, Saha S, Roy S. 2011. Assessment of nutritional status of rural tribal children in Tripura. *Indian Pediatr.* 48(6):488-489.

Sherpa A T, Singh N, Basnet P B, Sherpa, M C. 2019. Nutritional Status Assessment of Adolescent School Going Children in Solukhumbu, Nepal. *Nepalese Medical Journal* .2(1): 155–159.

Shrimpton R, Rokx C. 2012. The Double Burden of Malnutrition in Indonesia. *Indonesia Health Sector Review Series*. Jakarta: The World Bank.

Tomar SP, Kasar PK, Tiwari R. 2018. Study of life style determinants of overweight and obesity among school going adolescents in urban Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India. *Int J Comm Med Pub Health*. 4(2):554-559.

WHO AnthroPlus for personal computers: software for assessing growth of the world's children and adolescents.2007. Geneva:WHO.

World Health Organisation. 1995. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Technical Report Series No. 854. World Health Organisation: Geneva.

WHO growth curves (assessed from internet on) WHO multicentre growth references study group. 2006. Assessment of differences in linear growth among populations in the WHO multicentre growth reference study. *Acta Paediatr Suppl.*450:56-65.